

Operator Overloading

- Operators and Functions
- friends
- unary, binary
- +, *, ++, etc.
- <<, >>
- =, []

1

Introduction

- Just as functions can be overloaded using different *signatures*, operators can be overloaded using different *operands*
- Operator overloading is not essential – we could do the job just as well with functions (as we'll see).
- Operator overloading can be dangerous – C++ gives us *lots* of rope with which to hang ourselves. (This is why there is no operator overloading in Java.)
- If we are careful, operator overloading is useful and elegant – but we shouldn't go overboard.

2

Operators and Functions

The key to operator overloading is:

operators and functions are really the same thing

E.g. consider:

```
c = a + b
```

"+" is the *operator*, with (a,b) the *operands*.

If the compiler didn't provide the "+" operator, we could live just as happily with a `plus` function:

```
c = plus(a,b)
```

(alho we would have to do tedious bit manipulations in `plus`)

3

Let's code this in the "obvious" way:

```
#include <iostream.h>    // operator1.cc

int plus(int a, int b) { return a+b; }

int main() {
    const int a(17);
    const int b(28);
    cout << "plus(" << a << ", " << b << ") = " << plus(a,b) << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

4

1. OK, we're still using "+" but patience!
2. We've only done this for `int`, but we know how to use templates
3. For objects bigger than `int`, we should really use *references* – so as not to pass big objects on the stack.

```
#include <iostream.h>    // operator2.cc

int plus(const int& a, const int& b) { return a+b; }

int main() {
    const int a(17);
    const int b(28);
    cout << "plus(" << a << ", " << b << ") = " << plus(a,b) << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

5

- This `plus` function will now work with other objects. E.g. suppose we want to add (in the vector sense) two `Point` objects. We probably *don't* want to use templates here, because different objects will likely have different algebras.
- First add a `plus` declaration to the header file (we've removed the virtual functions for simplicity). If we try to do the "obvious" we'll hit a problem:

```
class Point {
public:
    ...
    Point plus(const Point& a, const Point& b);
};
```

6

- We think we want `plus` to be a member function of class `Point`. But if this were the case, we would have to use it as part of an object:

```
Point c;
c.plus(a,b);
```

- We don't want to do this, because we want it to look like "normal" algebra:

```
Point c=plus(a,b);    // Point c=a+b
```
- `plus` is really a global function – it exists without an object.
- `Point`'s data are `private`, so a non-member function can't get at them.
- We get round this by introducing a new C++ construct, the `friend`.

7

Friends

A friend is a way of letting a different object or function have access to a class's private data.

As with all friends, you should pick them carefully, and not be too friendly to too many people.



Only use friends when there is no other "clean" way

- An object has to grant friendship – a function cannot just decide it wants to be a friend. That would break encapsulation.
- An object only grants friendship to *specific* classes or functions – not just anyone who comes along.

8

- In the `plus` example, class `Point` needs to grant access to function `plus`
- It's simply done with the `friend` keyword.
- Now `plus` is a friend of class `Point`, `plus` can use `Point`'s private data.
- We are then able (finally) to implement our `plus` function:

9

```
#ifndef __POINT_HH    // Point3.hh
#define __POINT_HH
#include <iostream.h>

class Point {
public:
    Point(int initX=0, int initY=0);
    void print();
    int x() { return m_x; }
    int y() { return m_y; }
    int r();
    void rMoveTo(const Point&);
    void moveTo(const Point&);
    friend Point plus(const Point&, const Point&);
private:
    int m_x, m_y;
};
#endif // __POINT_HH
```

10

```
#include "Point3.hh"    // operator3.cc

Point plus(const Point& a, const Point& b) {
    Point tmp;
    tmp.m_x = a.m_x + b.m_x;
    tmp.m_y = a.m_y + b.m_y;
    return tmp;
}

int main() {
    const Point a(3,4);
    const Point b(5,-2);
    Point c=plus(a,b);
    cout << "plus(a,b) = ";
    c.print();
    cout << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

11

Points to note:

- The *return* type of `plus` is a `Point`, but `plus` is *not* a member of class `Point`
- `plus` returns an object, and not a reference? Why?
 1. To return a reference, the object must already exist
 2. A temporary object exists in `plus`, but that goes out of scope when `plus` returns.
- But — we set out to overload “+”, not write a function “`plus`”.
- All we have to do is define (with the right syntax) our operator:

12

```

#ifndef __POINT_HH    // Point4.hh
#define __POINT_HH
#include <iostream.h>

class Point {
public:
    Point(int initX=0, int initY=0);
    void print();
    int x() { return m_x; }
    int y() { return m_y; }
    int r();
    void rMoveTo(const Point&);
    void moveTo(const Point&);
    friend Point operator+(const Point&, const Point&);
private:
    int m_x, m_y;
};
#endif // __POINT_HH

```

13

```

#include "Point4.hh"    // operator4.cc

Point operator+(const Point& a, const Point& b) {
    Point tmp;
    tmp.m_x = a.m_x + b.m_x;
    tmp.m_y = a.m_y + b.m_y;
    return tmp;
}

int main() {
    const Point a(3,4);
    const Point b(5,-2);
    Point c = a + b;
    cout << "a+b = ";
    c.print();
    cout << endl;
    return 0;
}

```

14

- Now we can use "+" with `Point` objects.
- It looks like the operator is really just a function, called `operator+`. Is it? — Yes!
- We could equally well do:
`Point c = operator+(a,b);`
 Of course, having gone to the trouble of defining "+" we wouldn't – but it shows the equivalence of operators and functions.
- Even tho `plus` is not a *member* of `Point`, it's probably sensible to keep the implementation code in `Point.cc`
- We are using the `=` operator for class `Point` – see later.

15

Member or friend?

Now we're starting to feel secure, let's do it a different way. C++ gives us yet more rope with which to hang ourselves.



This can get *really* confusing. Fasten your seat belts.

In the function signature, we know that the signature is specified by:

1. the argument types
2. the function name
3. the class. i.e. `A::f()` is different from `B::f()`.

16

- Usually, this match is done with code such as:

```
A a;
a.f();
```

- To maintain (a kind of) symmetry, the match can also be done with the *first operand* of an overloaded operator.
- So we have another way of defining an overloaded operator – this time as a true member function.

```
class Point {
public:
    ...
    Point operator+(const Point&) const;
};
```

17

- But wait a minute – what's that final `const`?
- We want our operator to take `const` operands, but usually a member function can modify the current (`this`) object. We not only don't want that – we will also get a compilation error.



A member function cannot change the `this` object if it is declared `const`.

- So now – finally – we can implement our member function overloaded operator:

18

```
#include "Point5.hh" // operator5.cc

Point Point::operator+(const Point& a) const {
    Point tmp;
    tmp.m_x = m_x + a.m_x;
    tmp.m_y = m_y + a.m_y;
    return tmp;
}

int main() {
    const Point a(3,4);
    const Point b(5,-2);
    Point c = a + b;
    cout << "a+b = ";
    c.print();
    cout << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

19

- So which should we use? Friend or member?
- Other things being equal, it's probably best to stick with member functions, rather than friends.
- But that's not always possible:

Suppose we overload the “*” operator, to scale a `Point`. We could do it just as for +

```
class Point {
public:
    ...
    Point operator*(const int&) const;
};
```

and implement in the obvious way:

20

```
#include "Point6.hh"    // operator6.cc

Point Point::operator*(const int& a) const {
    Point tmp;
    tmp.m_x = a*m_x;
    tmp.m_y = a*m_y;
    return tmp;
}

int main() {
    const Point a(3,4);
    const int scale(3);
    Point b = a*scale;
    cout << "a*" << scale << " = ";
    b.print();
    cout << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

21

- But there's a problem: we'd like scalar multiplication to commute:
`Point b = a*scale;` and `Point c = scale*a;`
 should do the same thing.
- But they don't and they can't: for a member function (operator), the first operand is the object itself, so `Point b = a*scale;` works.
- However, for `Point c = scale*a;` we'd have to write a member function for class `int` – and there is no such class. It's a built-in type.
- It would be ugly to have one operator a member, and the other global.
- In this case, we should make them both global, and define them both accordingly.

22

```
class Point {
public:
    ...
    friend Point operator*(const Point&, const int&);
    friend Point operator*(const int&, const Point&);
};
```

once we've defined `Point*int` we can define `int*Point` in terms of `Point*int`, rather than all over again.

23

```
#include "Point7.hh"    // operator7.cc

Point operator*(const Point& a, const int& s) {
    Point tmp;
    tmp.m_x = s*a.m_x;  tmp.m_y = s*a.m_y;
    return tmp;
}

Point operator*(const int& s, const Point& a) { return a*s; }

int main() {
    const Point a(3,4);
    Point b(a*6);
    cout << "a*6 = "; b.print(); cout << endl;
    b = 3*a;
    cout << "3*a = "; b.print(); cout << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

24

Unary and Binary operators

- The “+” operator is called a *binary* operator – because it has 2 operands.
- Operators can also be *unary* – with just 1 operand.
- Let’s write a “-” operator to change the sign of a `Point` object. Again, we have the choice of friend or member. Let’s do it first with a friend:

```
class Point {  
public:  
    ...  
    friend Point operator-(const Point&);  
};
```

25

```
#include "Point8.hh"    // operator8.cc
```

```
Point operator-(const Point& a) {  
    Point tmp;  
    tmp.m_x = -a.m_x;  
    tmp.m_y = -a.m_y;  
    return tmp;  
}
```

```
int main() {  
    const Point a(3,4);  
    Point b(-a);  
    cout << "-a = ";  
    b.print();  
    cout << endl;  
    return 0;  
}
```

26

That was easy!

Now we can do it as a member: since the first operand is the object itself – and there is only one operand, we don’t give `operator-()` any arguments.

```
class Point {  
public:  
    ...  
    Point operator-() const;  
};
```

27

```
#include "Point9.hh"    // operator9.cc
```

```
Point Point::operator-() const {  
    Point tmp;  
    tmp.m_x = -m_x;  
    tmp.m_y = -m_y;  
    return tmp;  
}
```

```
int main() {  
    const Point a(3,4);  
    Point b(-a);  
    cout << "-a = ";  
    b.print();  
    cout << endl;  
    return 0;  
}
```

28

- `operator-()` is a *prefix* operator – the operator comes before the operand.
- Most unary operators are prefix, but `++` and `--` can be *either* prefix *or* postfix. How will that work?



The normal operator rules apply to *prefix* operators.

- If we *want* a prefix operator, we don't have to do anything differently. Let's do the `++` operator.
- If `p` is a `Point`, let `++p` increment `p`'s (x, y) coordinates.
- We know how to do this – let's use a friend.

29

```
#include "Point11.hh"    // operator11.cc

Point operator++(Point& a) {
    ++a.m_x;
    ++a.m_y;
    return a;
}

int main() {
    Point a(3,4);
    Point b = ++a;
    cout << "++a = ";
    b.print();
    cout << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

30

- How do we do the postfix `++` operator?
- There is no obvious way: C++ gives us a rule and a trick:



If a default operator is unary (*binary*) the overloaded operator must also be unary (*binary*).

- Since `++` starts out *unary*, it must *always* be unary.
- The trick is if we provide a *second* (dummy) operand, C++ knows that there can't be 2 operands, so interprets this as a *postfix* operator – ignoring the second operand.
- But it can't be any old operand:



The second (dummy) operand of a unary operator must be an *int*

31

Now we can do the postfix `++` operator:

```
class Point {
public:
    ...
    friend Point operator++(Point&);           // prefix
    friend Point operator++(Point&, int);      // postfix
};
```

32


```

#include "Point12.hh"    // operator12.cc

Point operator++(Point& a) {
    ++a.m_x; ++a.m_y;
    return a;
}

Point operator++(Point& a, int) {
    Point tmp=a;
    ++a;
    return tmp;
}

int main() {
    Point a(3,4);
    cout << "a++ = ";
    a++.print();
    a.print(); cout << endl;
    return 0;
}

```

33

Note:

1. we define the postfix in terms of the prefix
2. for the postfix, we have to make a local copy to return before we increment

For this second reason, postfix operators are *always* more expensive – this can be important.

We will return to this when we cover STL.

34

Can we do the same using a member function?

Yes – by using the `this` pointer:

```

class Point {
public:
    ...
    Point operator++();        // prefix
    Point operator++(int);     // postfix
};

```

We'll be using `this` a lot.

35

```

#include "Point13.hh"    // operator13.cc

Point Point::operator++() {
    ++m_x; ++m_y;
    return *this;
}

Point Point::operator++(int) {
    Point tmp=*this;
    ++*this;
    return tmp;
}

int main() {
    Point a(3,4);
    cout << "a++ = ";
    a++.print();
    a.print(); cout << endl;
    return 0;
}

```

36

Overloading ostream operators

We now overload the ostream extraction/insertion operators, `>>` and `<<`.

Let's remind ourselves how they are used:

```
cout << foo;
```

- The operand to the *right* of `<<` is inserted into the stream
- The return type of `<<` is the stream itself – which has been modified
- The returned stream is not a *new* stream, but the stream on the LHS of the operator.
- There's an extra subtlety – but we'll hold off for a while

37

To declare `<<`, we add to `Point.hh`

```
class Point {  
public:  
    ...  
    friend ostream& operator<<(ostream& os, const Point& p);  
};
```

- The *first* argument of `<<` is the `ostream` (or `istream`) instance. `<<` and `>>` can *never* be member functions.
- `iostream.h` defines `<<` and `>>` as member functions for all the *built-in* types.

38

The implementation appears straightforward:

```
#include "Point14.hh"    // operator14.cc  
  
ostream& operator<<(ostream& os, const Point& p) {  
    os << "(" << p.m_x << ", " << p.m_y << ")";  
    return os;  
}  
  
int main() {  
    Point a(3,4);  
    cout << "a = " << a << endl;  
    return 0;  
}
```

39

But there are some traps:

- Why do we declare `<<` type `ostream&`?
- Why do we return `os`?

Suppose we write: `cout << foo << bar;`

This is really shorthand for: `(cout << foo) << bar;`

The sequence is:

1. First do: `cout << foo;` and return the *same* stream `cout`
2. Then do: `cout << bar;`

- For this to work, not only must `<<` return an `ostream` object, but it must return a *Reference* to the first argument.
- Without a reference, we would have to create a *new* ostream object.

40

Now it is easy to “chain” << operations:

```
#include "Point15.hh"    // operator15.cc

ostream& operator<<(ostream& os, const Point& p) {
    os << "(" << p.m_x << ", " << p.m_y << ")";
    return os;
}

int main() {
    Point a(3,4);
    cout << "a = " << a << "\n" << Point(5,12) << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

41

Overloading assignment operator

- C++ gives us certain default functions: “bare” constructor, copy constructor, destructor
- it also gives us a default assignment operator, = which does a *member by member* assignment.

```
#include "Point16.hh"    // operator16.cc

int main() {
    Point a(3,4);
    Point b=a;
    cout << "a = " << a << "\nb = " << b << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

42

- For class `Point` there is no problem.
- What if the class contained a *pointer* to data outside the class?
- Let’s define a class `Array` with a dynamically-created array. (Exercise for the student: make `Array` a template class.)
- But we already know the trap: we’ve seen it in the copy constructor example:
 - the default = only copies the *pointer*, not the contents
 - we already have to write a destructor and copy constructor
 - we also have to provide an assignment operator

43

```
#ifndef __ARRAY_HH    // Array1.hh
#define __ARRAY_HH
#include <iostream.h>

class Array {
public:
    Array(unsigned int size=0, const int* array=0);
    Array(const Array&);    // copy constructor
    ~Array();    // destructor
    Array& operator=(const Array&);
    friend ostream& operator<<(ostream& os, const Array&);
private:
    unsigned int m_size;
    int* m_array;
};

#endif // __ARRAY_HH
```

44

Array::operator=(()) needs some work and explanation:

```
Array& Array::operator=(const Array& a) {  
    if (this!=&a) {  
        delete [] m_array;  
        m_array = new int[m_size=a.m_size];  
        for (unsigned int i=0; i<m_size; i++) {  
            m_array[i]=a.m_array[i];  
        }  
    }  
    return *this;  
}
```

45

```
#include <stdlib.h>    // operator17.cc  
#include "Array1.hh"
```

```
int main() {  
    int x[] = { rand(), rand(), rand(), rand() };  
    int y[] = { rand(), rand(), rand(), rand(), rand(), rand() };  
    Array a(sizeof(x)/sizeof(int), x);  
    Array b(sizeof(y)/sizeof(int), y);  
    Array c(a);  
    cout <<"&a, a: "<<hex<<(unsigned long)&a<<"", "<<dec<< a<<endl;  
    cout <<"&b, b: "<<hex<<(unsigned long)&b<<"", "<<dec<< b<<endl;  
    cout <<"&c, c: "<<hex<<(unsigned long)&c<<"", "<<dec<< c<<endl;  
    c = b;  
    cout <<"&c, c: "<<hex<<(unsigned long)&c<<"", "<<dec<< c<<endl;  
    return 0;  
}
```

46

Points to note:

- the return type for `Foo::operator=()` is a `Foo&`
- the return object is `*this`
- the operand for `Foo::operator=()` is a `const Foo&`
- `operator=()` first tests that the argument is not `this`
- `operator=()` then deletes the old array before allocating a new one.
This is *not* the constructor, so there is *always* a pre-existing array.
- it then does a copy of all the elements of the array

47

Why return `Array&`, rather than make `Array::operator=()` void?
assignments can be chained:

```
c = b = a;
```

This does the following:

1. first assign `a` to `b`
2. then return the result `b`
3. then assign this result to `c`

to do this, `operator=()` must return a reference to its argument object.

48



Be careful whenever you use `new`

Whenever memory is allocated outside the object, you should *always*

- Provide a copy constructor
- Provide a destructor
- Provide an assignment operator

49



Be careful to define the whole algebra

Suppose we:

- Define an overloaded `operator+()`
- Define an overloaded `operator=()`

What happens if we write:

```
Foo a;  
Foo b;  
b += a;
```

Fortunately, the compiler saves us! Altho there is a default `operator=()`, there is *not* a default `operator+=()`.

50

The implementation looks simpler than `operator+()`

```
Array& Array::operator+=(const Array& a) {  
    for (unsigned int i=0; i<m_size; i++) {  
        m_array[i] += a.m_array[i];  
    }  
    return *this;  
}
```

So maybe we should define `operator+()` in terms of `operator+=()`:

```
Array Array::operator+(const Array& a) const {  
    Array tmp=*this;  
    tmp += a;  
    return tmp;  
}
```

51

Overloading `[]` operator

- The subscript operator, `[]` can also be overloaded.
- Why should we do this?
- Let's make `Array` test that an index is within range when it is accessed.
- We can do this by overloading `[]`.

```
class Array {  
public:  
    ...  
    int& operator[](int);  
};
```

52

The implementation of `Array::operator[]()` is straightforward:

```
int& Array::operator[](int i) {
    if ( (i<0) || (i>=(int)m_size) ) {
        cout <<"index "<< i<<" out of bounds.  Should be 0<=i<"
        << m_size << endl;
        return m_array[0];    // no elegance here
    }
    return m_array[i];
}
```

as too is the use:

53

```
#include <stdlib.h>    // operator18.cc
#include "Array2.hh"

int main() {
    int x[] = { rand(), rand(), rand(), rand(), rand(), rand() };
    Array a(sizeof(x)/sizeof(int), x);
    cout <<"a: " << a <<endl;
    cout << "a[-3] = " << a[-3] << endl;
    cout << "a[4] = " << a[4] << endl;
    cout << "a[17] = " << a[17] << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

54

Points to note:

- `operator[]()` looks strange – the argument is between the `[` and `]`
- The return type is an `int&`. This allows the function to be on the LHS of an expression.

```
#include "Array2.hh"    // operator19.cc

int main() {
    const int kArraySize(6);
    Array a(kArraySize);
    for (int i=0; i<kArraySize; a[i++]=i*i) {}
    cout <<"a: " << a <<endl;
    return 0;
}
```

55

Whoa! The function (operator) is on the LHS of an expression?
This can be done with a Reference.

Some terminology:

- Usually, a function, such as $f(x)$, returns a result on the RHS of an expression.
 - This is called an **rvalue**
- If the result is on the LHS, as with `operator[]()`,
 - This is called an **lvalue**
 - The return type *must* be a reference
- C++ prevents rvalues and lvalues getting mixed up.

56

Rules for Operator Overloading

The following operators *can* be overloaded:

| | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|-----|--------|
| + | - | * | / | % | ^ | & | |
| ~ | ! | = | < | > | += | -= | *= |
| /= | %= | ^= | &= | = | << | >> | >>= |
| <<= | == | != | <= | >= | && | | ++ |
| -- | ->* | , | -> | [] | () | new | delete |

The following operators *cannot* be overloaded:

| | | | | |
|---|---|----|----|--------|
| . | * | :: | ?: | sizeof |
|---|---|----|----|--------|

57

1. Only built-in C++ operators can be overloaded
2. Operators for built-in types cannot be overloaded
3. ++ and -- come in both prefix and postfix versions. Use a dummy `int` argument to signify postfix.
4. Operator precedence rules cannot be changed by overloading
5. Default parameters cannot be used
6. A unary (binary) operator must also be unary (binary) when overloaded.
7. The number of operands cannot be changed

58

Style Guidelines



The default constructors, destructor, and operator= are often a source of error.

1. For class `Foo`, make the declarations: `Foo::Foo(const Foo&)`, `Foo::~~Foo()`, and `Foo::operator=(const Foo&)`, `private:..`. This will cause a compile error if they are used.
2. *Or:* make them `public:` and define them as:
`Foo::Foo(const Foo&) { assert(0); }`
3. *Or:* define them correctly!
4. For a base class, make the destructor virtual. (The constructor cannot be virtual). This forces the destructor for the derived class to be called.

59

```
#ifndef __FOOBASE_HH // FooBase.hh
#define __FOOBASE_HH
#include <iostream.h>

class FooBase {
public:
    FooBase(int x=0) : m_x(x) {}
    friend ostream& operator<<(ostream&, const FooBase&);
    virtual ~FooBase() { cout << "FooBase destructor" << endl; }
private:
    int m_x;
};

ostream& operator<<(ostream& os, const FooBase& f) {
    os << f.m_x;    return os;
}
#endif // __FOOBASE_HH
```

60

We create a pointer of type `FooBase*` for an object of type `Foo*`. (This is not as silly as it seems).

```
#include "FooBase.hh"    // FooBase.cc

class Foo : public FooBase {
public:
    Foo(unsigned int i) : FooBase(i) {}
    ~Foo() { cout << "Foo destructor" << endl; }
};

int main() {
    FooBase* f = new Foo(5);
    cout << *f << endl;
    delete f;
    return 0;
}
```