Pointers

- We have put off pointers to as late as possible
- Pointers are an essential feature of both C and C++, but are often over-used or misused in C++
- C++ allows us to call by name (References), making much use of pointers unnecessary

Pointers give us all the rope we need to hang ourselves, *and* shoot ourselves in the foot, *and* blow our leg off.

How pointers work:

- A variable *name* is a human-friendly shorthand for its *address*.
- The assignment: `x = 17;` is short for:

  Put the value "17" into the memory location labelled "x".

- If our computer has 256 MB of (virtual) memory, then x's location could be a byte offset from 0 to 2^{28}.

Main uses of pointers in C++

1. Arrays
2. Dynamic memory (and object) allocation
3. The current `this` object
4. Fancy footwork

But first the syntax. There are 2 operators:

1. The "address of" operator, `&`
   If `x` is any valid variable, then `&x` is the address of `x`.

```
#include <iostream.h>    // addressof.cc

int main() {
  int x=17;
  cout << "x=" <<dec<< x << ", &x=" <<hex<< (int)&x <<endl;
  return 0;
}
```


2. The "dereference" (pointer) operator, `*`
   If `p` is any valid address (pointer), then `*p` is the content of that address.

```
#include <iostream.h>    // addressofint.cc

int main() {
  int x=17;
  int* px=&x;
  cout << "px=" <<hex<< (int)px << ", *px=" <<dec<< *px <<endl;
  return 0;
}
```

Read a statement such as `int* px;` backwards:

"px is a pointer to an int"

A pointer is a distinct type. A pointer of type `int*` is a different type than a `float*`

```
#include <iostream.h>   // addressoffloat.cc

int main() {
  int   x=17;
  int* px=&x;
  float   e=1.6e-19;
  float* pe=&e;
  cout << "px=" <<hex<< (int)px << ", *px=" <<dec<< *px<<endl;
  cout << "pe=" <<hex<< (int)pe << ", *pe=" <<dec<< *pe<<endl;
  return 0;
}
```


const pointers and pointers to const

Before we look at the use of pointers, we'll clear up (or add) another source of confusion:

- if we declare `const int x(17);`, then `x` is *immutable* – it cannot be changed.
- With pointers, we can make *either* the pointed-to object constant, *or* the pointer itself constant, *or* both:
  - `int* p1;              // p1 and *p1 mutable`
  - `const int* p2;        // p2 mutable, *p2 immutable`
  - `const int* const p3(&x);  // p3 and *p3 immutable`
  - `int* const p4(&y); // p4 immutable, *p4 mutable`


```
#include <iostream.h>   // const.cc

int main() {
  const int x(17);
  int y(42);
  int* p1;
  const int* p2;
  const int* const p3(&x);
  int* const p4(&y);
  p1 = &y;
  *p1 *= 2;
  p2 = &x;
  (*p4)++;
  cout << "p1=" <<hex<< (int)p1 <<", *p1="<<dec<< *p1 <<endl
       << "p2=" <<hex<< (int)p2 <<", *p2="<<dec<< *p2 <<endl
       << "p3=" <<hex<< (int)p3 <<", *p3="<<dec<< *p3 <<endl
       << "p4=" <<hex<< (int)p4 <<", *p4="<<dec<< *p4 <<endl;
  return 0;
}
```


Style **Where to put the *?**

Any of:

- `int* p1;`
- `int *p1;`
- `int*p1;`

are *syntactically* correct.

We prefer: `int* p1;`
to emphasize that the type of `p1` is a *pointer to an* `int`.

Pointer Arithmetic

- We can do pointer arithmetic in a way that makes sense *for that pointer*.
- if `p` is an `int*`, then `p+1` points to the first byte *after* `p`.
- The *compiler* looks after the different variable lengths: we don't have that worry.


```
#include <iostream.h>   // arithmetic.cc

int main() {
  int x(17);
  int y(42);
  int* p1(&x);
  cout << "p1-&y = " << (int)(p1-&y) << endl;
  cout << "p1=" <<hex<< (int)p1 <<", *p1="<<dec<< *p1 <<endl;
  ++p1;
  cout << "p1=" <<hex<< (int)p1 <<", *p1="<<dec<< *p1 <<endl;
  // (*p1)++;   // this will change random memory
  return 0;
}
```


Notes:

- It looks like the difference of the 2 pointers is 4, whereas pointer arithmetic says it's 1. This *is* consistent.
- Pointers allow us to access memory that maybe we shouldn't access
- Sometimes this leads to the dreaded segmentation fault
- Be careful with the precedence of `*` and `++`. When in doubt, use parentheses.

Be *very* careful with pointer arithmetic

Arrays

- Arrays use pointers implicitly – and interchangeably
- The array *name* is a pointer to the 0'th element of the array
- Then `name+1` is a pointer to the 1st element of the array, etc.
- We can use *either* indexed arrays, *or* pointers.

```
#include <iostream.h>   // array.cc

int main() {
  const int kArraySize(8);
  const int a[]={0, 1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 49};
  const int* p(a);
  for (int i=0; i<kArraySize; i++) {
    cout << *p++ << endl;
  }
  return 0;
}
```


Points to note:

- The declaration: `const int a[]` makes `a` an array, of size determined by the initialization.
- The definition `{0, 1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 49}` sets the size of the array, and initializes its elements
- The declaration: `const int* p(a);` declares a pointer to `const int`
- We can access each element with: `*p++` (first dereference, then bump the pointer).

the arguments of `main`

- So far, we have used `main()` with no arguments.
- It can also take 2 arguments: `main(int argc, char* argv[])`. (By convention, these names are used, but they can be anything.)
  - `int argc`
    The number of command line arguments. The program name is *always* the first argument, so `argc>0`
  - `char* argv[]`
    A `NULL`-terminated array of pointers to `char[]`. Each array of `char` is a `NULL`-terminated string containing the argument.
- (See K & R for a description of arrays, and the use of `argc, argv`)


```
#include <iostream.h>   // arguments1.cc

int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {
  cout << "You gave " << argc << " arguments" << endl;
  for (int i=0; i<argc; i++) {
    cout << argv[i] << endl;
  }
  return 0;
}
```

- Historically, the use of `char[]` preceded the `String` class, so we have to live with both.
- Note how the program name is the first element pointed to by `argv`

We can also use the interchangeability of pointers and arrays:

```
#include <iostream.h>   // arguments2.cc

int main(int, char** argv) {
  while (*argv != 0) {
    cout << *argv++ << endl;
  }
  return 0;
}
```

- Pointers allow us to be very terse (and cryptic)
- We can use either `char* argv[]` or `char** argv`
- The `argv` array is terminated with a `NULL` pointer.

Dynamic object allocation

- So far, we have declared every object (including built-in types) at compile-time
- Very often, we want to wait until *run time*
  - We may want to reduce the executable size
  - More normally, we don't know how big to make the object at compile time
- C++ allows us to do this with the `new` operator

the new operator

- The `new` operator is a way of creating an object – or dynamically allocating memory.
- It creates the object, and returns a *pointer* to the object.
- That's why we simply cannot avoid pointers
- We can create either a single object, or an array of objects


```
#include <iostream.h>   // newobject.cc
#include <assert.h>
#include "Point7.hh"

int main() {
  Point* p = new Point(5,7);
  assert (p!=0);
  (*p).print();
  p->rmoveTo(Point(2,1));
  p->print();
  cout << endl;
  return 0;
}
```

Points to Note:

- We use the `assert` macro to "assert" some condition:
  - if the argument to `assert` is "true", nothing happens
  - otherwise, the program terminates with an error message
- The statement: `Point* p = new Point(5,7);`
  - creates a new `Point` object, calling the constructor as normal
  - returns a *pointer* to the object
- If `new` fails, `p` is assigned to 0, otherwise it points to the new object
- You should *always* test that `new` was successful
- Since `p` is a pointer, we have to dereference it before using the object
- The operation: `(*p).method` is done so often, we use the shorthand: `p->method`


```
#include <iostream.h>   // newarray.cc
#include <strstream.h>
#include <assert.h>

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  assert (argc>1);
  istrstream arg(*++argv);
  int arraySize;
  arg >> arraySize;
  int* a = new int[arraySize];
  assert (a!=0);
  for (int i=0; i<arraySize; i++) { a[i] = i*i; }
  const int* p(a);
  for (int i=0; i<arraySize; i++) { cout << *p++ << endl; }
  return 0;
}
```


Points to Note:

- We use `istrstream` to parse the arguments
- This time, `new` is used to dynamically create an array
- The array size is given with the `[]` operator
- Since `a` is `int*`, we can use an array index or a pointer interchangeably
- We could have used any object to create e.g. an array of `Point` objects

the `delete` operator

There is a catch using `new`:

- Normally, when an object goes out of scope, its memory is freed
- If an object is created with `new`, memory is not freed

This leads to the dreaded memory leak

We have to use the `delete` operator to free the memory explicitly:

- Use `delete foo` to delete an object
- Use `delete [] foo` to delete an array

```
#include <iostream.h>   // deletearray.cc
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <assert.h>

int main() {
  const int kRandMax(1024);
  while (1) {
    const int kArraySize( (rand()%kRandMax)+1 );
    int* a = new int[kArraySize];
    assert (a!=0);
    for (int i=0; i< kArraySize; a[i++]=rand()) {}
    cout << "a = " << hex << (int)a
 << ", kArraySize = " << dec << kArraySize << endl;
    delete [] a;
  }
  return 0;
}
```


Points to Note:

- *Without* the `delete`, the array starts at a different address each iteration – memory is consumed until the program crashes
- *With* the `delete`, the memory is recycled (try it)

Always make sure to use `delete` after using `new`

Object Destructor

- When an object goes out of scope, its memory is freed
- If we used a `new` operator for memory that is part of the object, that memory cannot be freed, unless we do so explicitly
- Every class has a default destructor that is called when the object goes out of scope
- But the default cannot know about memory allocated dynamically – so we have to provide an explicit destructor
- If the class is called `Foo`, the destructor is called `~Foo`

Always provide a destructor when memory has been allocated dynamically


```
#include <iostream.h>   // destructor1.cc
#include <stdlib.h>
#include "Point8.hh"

Point::~Point() {
  cout << "I am the destructor" << endl;
}

int main() {
  const int kRandMax(1024);
  for (int i=0; i<20; i++) {
    Point p( rand()%kRandMax, rand()%kRandMax );
    cout << "Point " << i << ":  ";
    p.print();
    cout << endl;
  }
  return 0;
}
```

```
#include <iostream.h>   // destructor2.cc
#include <stdlib.h>
#include "Point8.hh"

Point::~Point() {
  cout << "I am the destructor" << endl;
}

int main() {
  const int kRandMax(1024);
  for (int i=0; i<20; i++) {
    Point* p = new Point( rand()%kRandMax, rand()%kRandMax );
    cout << "Point " << i << ":  ";
    p->print();
    cout << endl;
    delete p;
  }
  return 0;
}
```


Some subtle points:

- In the first example, the destructor is called – even tho we haven't called it
- In the second example, the destructor is *not* called unless we *explicitly* call it

Style — **When in doubt, always provide a destructor**

- This last destructor does nothing – we'll now look at one that does something essential

In this example, dynamic memory is allocated by the constructor:

```
#ifndef __FOOBAR_HH   // FooBar1.hh
#define __FOOBAR_HH
#include <iostream.h>

class FooBar {
public:
  FooBar(unsigned int size=0, int initValue=0);
  ~FooBar();
  void print();
private:
  unsigned int m_size;
  int* m_array;
};
#endif // __FOOBAR_HH
```



```
#include "FooBar1.hh"   // FooBar1.cc

FooBar::FooBar(unsigned int size, int initValue) : m_size(size) {
  m_array = new int[m_size](initValue);
}

FooBar::~FooBar() {
  delete [] m_array;
  cout << "I have destroyed you" << endl;
}

void FooBar::print() {
  cout << "array size = " << m_size << ":";
  for (unsigned int i=0; i<m_size; i++) {
    cout << "  " << m_array[i];
  }
  cout << endl;
}
```

```
#include <iostream.h>   // destructor3.cc
#include <stdlib.h>
#include "FooBar1.hh"

int main() {
  const int kRandMax(16);
  for (int i=0; i<20; i++) {
    FooBar f( rand()%kRandMax, rand() );
    f.print();
  }
  return 0;
}
```

Note that:

- Our destructor is called automatically
- The scope of the object is within `{ }`

default constructors

As well as a default *destructor*, C++ also provides 2 default *constructors*:

1. a "bare" constructor
2. a copy constructor – its signature is: `Foo(Foo&)` or `Foo(const Foo&)`

i.e. if we don't write them, the compiler provides them.

If we don't allocate memory, there is usually not a problem:

- the "bare" constructor may or may not initialize the data members
- the copy constructor copies all data members (recursively)


```
#include <iostream.h>   // default.cc

class Foo {
public:
  void print() { cout << m_x << endl; }
private:
  int m_x;
};

int main() {
  Foo f;
  Foo g(f);
  f.print();
  g.print();
  return 0;
}
```


but if we allocate memory in the object, we could be in for a surprise:

```
#include <iostream.h>   // copyconstructor1.cc
#include <stdlib.h>
#include "FooBar2.hh"

int main() {
  const int kRandMax(8);
  for (int i=0; i<10; i++) {
    FooBar f( rand()%kRandMax, rand() );
    f.print();
    FooBar g(f);
    f.increment();
    g.print();
  }
  return 0;
}
```

```
#include "FooBar2.hh"   // FooBar2.cc

FooBar::FooBar(unsigned int size, int initValue) : m_size(size) {
  m_array = new int[m_size](initValue);
}
FooBar::~FooBar() {
  delete [] m_array;
  cout << "I have destroyed you" << endl;
}
void FooBar::print() {
  cout << "array size = " << m_size << ":";
  for (unsigned int i=0; i<m_size; cout<<"  "<<m_array[i++]) {}
  cout << endl;
}
  void FooBar::increment() {
  for (unsigned int i=0; i<m_size; m_array[i++]++) {}
}
```


Don't count on the default constructors – provide explicit ones

The problem is:

- The default copy constructor does a member-by-member copy
- The pointer `m_array` is copied to the new object, so in `g` it points to the *same* data
- Instead, we have to allocate new memory for the new object


```
#ifndef __FOOBAR_HH   // FooBar3.hh
#define __FOOBAR_HH
#include <iostream.h>

class FooBar {
public:
  FooBar(unsigned int size=0, int initValue=0);
  FooBar(const FooBar&);   // copy constructor
  ~FooBar();
  void print();
  void increment();
private:
  unsigned int m_size;
  int* m_array;
};
#endif // __FOOBAR_HH
```



```
#include "FooBar3.hh"   // FooBar3.cc
FooBar::FooBar(unsigned int size, int initValue) : m_size(size) {
  m_array = new int[m_size](initValue);
}
FooBar::FooBar(const FooBar& f) {
  cout << "Copy constructor ..." << endl;
  m_size  = f.m_size;
  m_array = new int[m_size];
  for (unsigned int i=0; i<m_size; i++) {m_array[i]=f.m_array[i];}
}
FooBar::~FooBar() {
  delete [] m_array;
  cout << "I have destroyed you" << endl; }
void FooBar::increment() {
  for (unsigned int i=0; i<m_size; m_array[i++]++) {}  }
void FooBar::print() {
  cout << "array size = " << m_size << ":";
  for (unsigned int i=0; i<m_size; cout<<"  "<<m_array[i++]) {}
  cout << endl; }
```

```
#include <iostream.h>   // copyconstructor1.cc
#include <stdlib.h>
#include "FooBar2.hh"

int main() {
  const int kRandMax(8);
  for (int i=0; i<10; i++) {
    FooBar f( rand()%kRandMax, rand() );
    f.print();
    FooBar g(f);
    f.increment();
    g.print();
  }
  return 0;
}
```


`this`

- Sometimes we have to distinguish between some *other* object, and *this* – the current object
- C++ gives us a pointer called "`this`" which points to the current object
- We will use it extensively with operator overloading
- We will also use in the next example

Fancy footwork

- Armed with pointers, we can shoot ourselves in the foot in ways that we never thought possible
- We can also do things that would not be possible otherwise
- E.g. making an object persistent (writing it to disk)
  - our use of streams did *formatted* i/o
  - suppose we want to do *unformatted* i/o – just save the bytes?

- First define a base class, `Persistent`
- Use a pure virtual function, `size()` for the size of the object. (Note that the `sizeof` operator cannot be overloaded.)
- Implement `write` and `read` methods for the base class
  - Note the cast of `this` to `char*`
- Then define a derived class which inherits `write` and `read`.
- The derived class must also implement `size`
  - Note the use of `this` in `sizeof`
- Presto-Magico!! Our derived class can now make itself persistent

```
#ifndef __PERSISTENT_HH   // Persistent.hh
#define __PERSISTENT_HH
#include <fstream.h>

class Persistent {
public:
  void write(ofstream&);
  void read(ifstream&);
protected:
  Persistent() {}
  virtual int size()=0;
};
#endif // __PERSISTENT_HH
```



```
#include "Persistent.hh"   // Persistent.cc

void Persistent::write(ofstream& os) {
  os.write( (char*)this, size() );
}

void Persistent::read(ifstream& is) {
  is.read(  (char*)this, size() );
}
```



```
#ifndef __FOOBAR_HH   // FooBar4.hh
#define __FOOBAR_HH

#include "Persistent.hh"

class FooBar : public Persistent {
public:
  FooBar(int a=0, int b=0, int c=0, int d=0)
    : m_a(a),m_b(b),m_c(c),m_d(d) {}
  void print() { cout<<m_a<<" "<<m_b<<" "<<m_c<<" "<<m_d<<endl;}
private:
  int m_a, m_b, m_c, m_d;
  int size() { return sizeof(*this); }
};
#endif // __FOOBAR_HH
```


Finally, here's the persistent write program:

```
#include <stdlib.h>   // PersistentWrite.cc
#include "FooBar4.hh"

int main() {
  FooBar f( rand(), rand(), rand(), rand() );
  f.print();
  ofstream out("myobject.dat");
  f.write(out);
  return 0;
}
```

and here's the persistent read program:

```
#include "FooBar4.hh"   // PersistentRead.cc

int main() {
  FooBar f;
  ifstream in("myobject.dat");
  f.read(in);
  f.print();
  return 0;
}
```


We can see that the virtual function does "the right thing" by adding another persistent class:

```
#include <stdlib.h>   // PersistentWrite2.cc
#include "FooBar4.hh"
#include "Foo.hh"

int main() {
  FooBar f( rand(), rand(), rand(), rand() );
  f.print();
  Foo bar(1);
  bar.print();
  ofstream out("myobject.dat");
  f.write(out);
  bar.write(out);
  return 0;
}
```


and we can use `new` in the `read` program:

```
#include "FooBar4.hh"   // PersistentRead2.cc
#include "Foo.hh"

int main() {
  FooBar f;
  Foo* bar = new Foo;
  ifstream in("myobject.dat");
  f.read(in);
  bar->read(in);
  f.print();
  bar->print();
  delete bar;
  return 0;
}
```


Exercise for the student:

Repeat this for an object that allocates memory in the constructor

There is no shortage of rope with which you can hang yourself