

# Course notes for EE394V

## Restructured Electricity Markets: Locational Marginal Pricing

Ross Baldick

*Copyright © 2006 Ross Baldick*

# 6

## Transmission pricing and hedging

- (i) Volatility of energy prices,
- (ii) Transmission prices,
- (iii) Congestion revenue rights.

## 6.1 Volatility of energy prices

- LMPs vary over time as supply and demand conditions vary.
- Consider a generator and demand that are co-located at the same bus.
- They are exposed to the same LMPs.
- Each might prefer to be exposed to a more uniform price over time, despite the variation of LMPs over time.
- That is, they may want to **hedge** their exposure to **price volatility**.
- To do this, they can sign a financial contract, called a **contract for differences**, that removes the exposure of each to variation in the LMP for a given contract quantity over a given contract period.

## 6.2 Transmission prices

- If the generator and demand are not co-located, they are effectively exposed to the *difference* in LMPs between their buses.
- Although they could hedge exposure to either the generator LMP or the demand LMP, they will be exposed to variation in the price difference between the two LMPs.
- A **financial transmission right** or **congestion revenue right (CRR)** is the right to receive the product of:
  - a contract quantity
  - times
  - the difference in LMPs between a notional point of withdrawal and a point of injection,
- over the contract period.
- The CRR hedges the exposure to volatile LMP differences.

## 6.3 Issuing CRRs

- Since prices are typically higher at demand than generation, CRRs will typically pay out positive amounts of money in expectation.
- That is, the expected payout over a contract duration will be positive.
- It can therefore be expected that a typical CRR will cost money to acquire!
- A speculator might offer to sell a CRR based on the expected payout over the contract duration (plus a risk premium).
- Is there any other source of money to fund CRRs not involving speculation?

## 6.4 CRRs funded out of congestion rent

- Congestion rent accrues to the ISO.
- It can be used to fund (non-speculative) CRRs.
- The ISO can sell CRRs.
- How “much” CRRs can be sold?
- Consider a collection of CRRs that have been sold.

Model each injection as a generation and each withdrawal as a demand.  
Let the total vectors of **implied dispatch** be  $P'$  and  $D'$ , respectively.

- By (5.2), if  $P'$  and  $D'$  are feasible for the transmission system (so that the implied dispatch due to all CRRs is **simultaneously feasible**) then we have:

$$[\lambda^*]^\dagger (D' - P') \leq [\lambda^*]^\dagger (D - P^*),$$

- where  $\lambda^*$  are the LMPs corresponding to the actual dispatch  $P^*$  and  $D$ .
- Note that the term on the left is payout from the CRRs.
- The term on the right is the congestion rent.
- That is, the revenue to the ISO from the congestion rent is **revenue adequate** to fund the CRRs.

## 6.5 Test system

- CRRs are typically issued for extended periods such as a month.
- A **test system** is used for issuing the CRRs.
- If the system used in the actual market has smaller line limits (or there is a constraint represented in the market that was not in the test system) then the revenue adequacy result no longer applies.
- A **derating policy** is needed for the case of revenue shortfall.

## 6.6 Summary

- In this chapter we have considered transmission pricing and hedging.
- We defined CRRs and considered conditions for revenue adequacy.

## References

- William W. Hogan “Contract Networks for Electric Power Transmission,” *Journal of Regulatory Economics*, 4(3):211–242, 1992.
- Felix Wu, Pravin Varaiya, Pablo Spiller, and Shmuel Oren, “Folk Theorems on Transmission Access: Proofs and Counterexamples,” *Journal of Regulatory Economics*, 10(1):5–23, 1996.