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Introduction

• High-performance, low-volume applications (~100 MB/s I/O; 1-20 GFLOPS; under 50 units)
  • Sonar beamforming
  • Synthetic aperture radar (SAR) image processing
  • Seismic volume processing
• Current real-time implementation technologies
  • Custom hardware
  • Custom integration using commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) processors (e.g. 100 digital signal processors in a VME chassis)
• COTS software development is problematic
  • Development and debugging tools are generally immature
  • Partitioning is highly dependent on hardware topology
Workstation Implementations

- Multiprocessor workstations are commodity items
  - Up to 64 processors for Sun Enterprise servers
  - Up to 14 processors for Compaq AlphaServer ES

- Symmetric multiprocessing (SMP) operating systems
  - Dynamically load balances many tasks on multiple processors
  - Lightweight threads (e.g. POSIX Pthreads)
  - Fixed-priority real-time scheduling (e.g. Solaris)

- Leverage native signal processing (NSP) kernels

- Software development is faster and easier
  - Development environment and target architecture are same
  - Concurrent development on less powerful workstations
Native Signal Processing

- Single-cycle multiply-accumulate (MAC) operation
  - Vector dot products, digital filters, and correlation
  - Missing extended precision accumulation
- Single-instruction multiple-data (SIMD) processing
  - UltraSPARC Visual Instruction Set (VIS) and Pentium MMX: 64-bit registers, 8-bit and 16-bit fixed-point arithmetic
  - Pentium III, K6-2 3DNow!: 64-bit registers, 32-bit floating-point
  - PowerPC AltiVec: 128-bit registers, 4x32 bit floating-point MACs
- Software data prefetching to prevent pipeline stalls
- Must hand-code using intrinsics and assembly code

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i x_i \]
Thread Pools

• A supervisor / worker model for threads

• A fixed number of worker threads are created at initialization time

• Supervisor inserts *work requests* into a queue

• Workers remove and process the requests
Parallel Programming

- **Problem:** Parallel programming is difficult
  - Hard to predict deadlock
  - Non-determinate execution
  - Difficult to make scalable software (e.g. rendezvous models)

- **Solution:** Formal models for programming

- We develop a model that leverages SMP hardware
  - Utilizes the formal bounded Process Network model
  - Extends with firing thresholds from Computation Graphs
  - Models algorithms on overlapping continuous streams of data

- We provide a high-performance implementation
## Motivation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Custom Hardware</th>
<th>Embedded COTS</th>
<th>Commodity Workstation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development cost</td>
<td>$2000K</td>
<td>$500K</td>
<td>$100K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development time</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical size (m³)</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconfigurability</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software portability</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware upgradability</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4-GFLOP sonar beamformers; volumes of under 50 units; 1999 technology
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Dataflow Models

• Models functional parallelism

• A program is represented as a directed graph
  • Each node represents a computational unit
  • Each edge represents a one-way FIFO queue of data

• A node may have any number of input or output edges and may communicate only via these edges

Synchronous Dataflow (SDF)
Boolean Dataflow (BDF)
Dynamic Dataflow (DDF)
Process Networks (PN)

more general
Synchronous Dataflow (SDF)

- Flow of control and memory usage are known at compile time [Lee, 1986]
- Schedule constructed once and repeatedly executed
- Well-suited to synchronous multirate signal processing on fixed topologies
- Used in design automation tools (HP EEs of Advanced Design System, Cadence Signal Processing Work System)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schedule</th>
<th>Memory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAABBBBBBC</td>
<td>12 + 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABABCABBC</td>
<td>6 + 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Computation Graphs (CG)

• Each FIFO queue is parametrized [Karp & Miller, 1966]

  \( A \) is number of data words initially present

  \( U \) is number of words inserted by producer on each firing

  \( W \) is number of words removed by consumer on each firing

  \( T \) is number of words in queue before consumer can fire

  where \( T \geq W \)

• Termination and boundedness are decidable

  • Computation graphs are \textit{statically} scheduled

  • Iterative static scheduling algorithms

  • Synchronous Dataflow is \( T = W \) for every queue
Boolean Dataflow (BDF)

- Turing complete

- Adds switch and select - provides if/then/else, for loops

- Termination and boundedness are undecidable

- Quasi-static scheduling with clustering of SDF
Process Networks (PN)

- A *networked* set of Turing machines
- Concurrent model for functional parallelism
- Mathematically provable properties [Kahn, 1974]
  - Guarantees *correctness*
  - Guarantees *determinate execution of programs*
- Dynamic firing rules at each node
  - Suspend execution when trying to consume data from an empty queue (*blocking reads*)
  - Never suspended for producing data (*non-blocking writes*) so queues can grow without bound
Bounded Scheduling

• Infinitely large queues cannot be realized

• **Dynamic** scheduling to always execute the program in bounded memory if it is possible [Parks, 1995]:
  1. Block when attempting to read from an empty queue
  2. Block when attempting to write to a full queue
  3. On artificial deadlock, increase the capacity of the smallest full queue until its producer can fire

• Preserves formal properties: liveness, correctness, and determinate execution

• Maps well to a threaded implementation (one node maps to one thread)
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Computational Process Networks

• Utilize the Process Network model [Kahn, 1974]
  • Captures concurrency and parallelism
  • Provides correctness and determinate execution

• Utilize bounded scheduling [Parks, 1995]
  • Permits realization in finite memory
  • Preserves properties regardless of which scheduler is used

• Extend this model with firing thresholds
  • Models algorithms on overlapping continuous streams of data, e.g. digital filters and fast Fourier transforms (FFTs)
  • Decouples computation (node) from communication (queue)
  • Allows compositional parallel programming
Implementation

• Designed for real-time high-throughput signal processing systems based on proposed framework

• Implemented in C++ with template data types

• POSIX Pthread class library
  • Portable to many different operating systems
  • Optional fixed-priority real-time scheduling

• Low-overhead, high-performance, and scalable

• Publicly available source code

http://www.ece.utexas.edu/~allen/PNSourceCode/
Implementation: Nodes

• Each node corresponds to a Pthread

• Node granularity larger than thread context switch
  • Context switch is about 10 $\mu$s in Sun Solaris operating system
  • Increasing node granularity reduces overhead

• Thread scheduler dynamically schedules nodes as the flow of data permits

• Efficient utilization of multiple processors (SMP)
Implementation: Queues

• Queues have input and output firing thresholds

• Nodes operate directly on queue memory to avoid unnecessary copying

• Queues use mirroring to keep data contiguous

• Compensates for lack of hardware support for circular buffers (e.g. modulo addressing in DSPs)

• Queues tradeoff memory usage for overhead

• Virtual memory manager keeps data circularity in hardware
A Sample Node

• A queue transaction uses pointers
  • Decouples communication and computation
  • Overlapping streams without copying

```c
typedef float T;
while (true) {
    // blocking calls to get in/out data pointers
    const T* inPtr  = inputQ.GetDequeuePtr(inThresh);
    T*       outPtr = outputQ.GetEnqueuePtr(outThresh);

    DoComputation( inPtr, inThresh, outPtr, outThresh );

    // complete node transactions
    inputQ.Dequeue(inSize);
    outputQ.Enqueue(outSize);
}
```
A Sample Program

• Compose system from a library of nodes

• Rapid development of real-time parallel software

• Programs currently constructed in C++

```cpp
int main() {
    PNTresholdQueue<T> P (queueLen, maxThresh);
PNTresholdQueue<T> Q (queueLen, maxThresh);
MyProducerNode A (P);
MyTransmuterNode B (P, Q);
MyConsumerNode C (Q);
}
```
Application: Sonar Beamforming

Collaboration with UT Applied Research Laboratories
Sonar Hydrophone Array

• Array of directional hydrophone sensors

• Each sensor has a wide directional response
Sonar Beamforming

- A beamformer is a directional (spatial) filter
- Beams with a narrow response pattern are formed
Time-Domain Beamforming

• Delay-and-sum weighted sensor outputs

• Geometrically project the sensor elements onto a line to compute the time delays

\[ b(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_i x_i(t-\tau_i) \]

\- \( b(t) \) beam output
\- \( x_i(t) \) \( i \)th sensor output
\- \( \tau_i \) \( i \)th sensor delay
\- \( \alpha_i \) \( i \)th sensor weight
Sample Sonar Display
4-GFLOP 3-D Beamformer

- 80 horizontal x 10 vertical sensors
- Data at 160 MB/s input, 72 MB/s output
- Collapse vertical sensors into 3 sets of 80 staves
- Do horizontal beamforming, 3 x 1200 MFLOPS
Vertical Beamformer

- Vertical columns combined into 3 stave outputs
  - Multiple integer dot products (16x16-bit multiply, 32-bit add)
  - Convert integer to floating-point for following stages
  - Interleave output data for following stages
- Kernel implementation on UltraSPARC-II
  - VIS for fast dot products and floating-point conversion
  - Software data prefetching to hide memory latency
  - Operates at 313 MOPS at 336 MHz (93% of peak)
Horizontal Beamformer

- Sample to preserve frequency content, **interpolate** to obtain desired time delay resolution

![](image)

- Different beams formed from same data

- Kernel implementation on UltraSPARC-II
  - Highly optimized C++ (loop unrolling and SPARCompiler 5.0DR)
  - Operates at 440 MFLOPS at 336 MHz (60% of peak)
Integration with Framework

• A single processor (thread) cannot achieve real-time performance for any one node

• Each beamformer node utilizes a pool of 4 threads (data parallelism)

• Performance dictates number of worker threads
Performance Results

- Sun Ultra Enterprise 4000 with twelve 336-MHz UltraSPARC-IIs, 3 Gb RAM, running Solaris 2.6

- Compare to sequential case and thread pools

- On one CPU, slowdown < 0.5%

- 8 CPUs vs. thread pool
  - 7% faster
  - 20% less memory

- On 12 CPUs
  - Speedup is 11.28 and efficiency of 94%
  - Runs real-time +14%
Summary

- **Bounded Process Network** model extended with **firing thresholds** from Computation Graphs
  - Provides **correctness and determinate execution**
  - Naturally models **parallelism in system**
  - Models algorithms on **overlapping continuous streams of data**

- **Multiprocessor workstation implementation**
  - Designed for **high-throughput data streams**
  - Native signal processing on general-purpose processors
  - SMP operating systems, real-time lightweight POSIX Pthreads
  - Low-overhead, high-performance and scalable

- **Reduces implementation time and cost**