

ECE382M.20: System-on-Chip (SoC) Design

Lecture 10 – High-Level Synthesis

Sources: Jacob Abraham

*D. Gajski, S. Abdi, A. Gerstlauer, G. Schirner,
“Embedded System Design: Modeling, Synthesis, Verification,”
Chapter 6: Hardware Synthesis, Springer, 2009.*

Andreas Gerstlauer

Electrical and Computer Engineering

University of Texas at Austin

gerstl@ece.utexas.edu



The University of Texas at Austin

Electrical and Computer Engineering

Cockrell School of Engineering


Lecture 10: Outline



- **Introduction**
 - High-level synthesis (HLS)
- **Essential issues**
 - Behavioral specification languages
 - Target architectures
 - Intermediate representation
 - Scheduling/allocation/binding
 - Control generation
- **High-level synthesis flow**
 - Models and architectures
 - Scheduling
 - Datapath synthesis (allocation & binding)


High Level Synthesis (HLS)

- **Convert a high-level description of a design to a RTL netlist**
 - **Input:**
 - High-level languages (e.g., C)
 - Behavioral hardware description languages (e.g., VHDL)
 - State diagrams / logic networks
 - **Tools:**
 - Parser
 - Library of modules
 - **Constraints:**
 - Area constraints (e.g., # modules of a certain type)
 - Delay constraints (e.g., set of operations should finish in λ clock cycles)
 - **Output:**
 - Operation scheduling (time) and binding (resource)
 - Control generation and detailed interconnections

High Level Synthesis







Lecture 10: Outline

✓ Introduction

• Essential issues


- Behavioral specification languages
- Target architectures
- Intermediate representation
- Scheduling/allocation/binding
- Control generation

• High-level synthesis flow


Behavioral Specification Languages



- **First HLS approaches (90's)**
 - Popular HDL
 - Verilog, VHDL
 - Synthesis-oriented HDLs
 - UDL/I
- **Recent resurgence (00's)**
 - Popular legacy programming languages
 - C/C++
 - Add hardware-specific constructs to existing languages
 - SystemC

Target Architecture




Finite-State Machine with Data Path





Intermediate Representation



ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10

© Jacob Abraham, UT Austin

13

Data Flow Graph (DFG)


- **Directed acyclic graph (DAG)**
 - Data dependencies, no control/conditionals, no other order

Original code

```
x = a + b;
y = a * c;
z = x + d;
x = y - d;
x = x + c;
```

Single assignment form

```
x1 <= a + b;
y <= a * c;
z <= x1 + d;
x2 <= y - d;
x3 <= x2 + c;
```





ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10

© Jacob Abraham, UT Austin


14

Scheduling

- **Assign operations to clock cycles**
 - Single basic block / data flow graph (DFG) at a time
 - Blocks concatenated according to control flow graph (CFG)
 - Advanced scheduling techniques go beyond single blocks




Schedule A




Schedule B

Scheduling Example

- **One feasible schedule for last DFG**



Allocation/Binding




ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10

© Jacob Abraham, UT Austin

17

Datapath




ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10

© Jacob Abraham, UT Austin

18


Binding Values to Registers

- Registers fall on clock cycle boundaries




Binding Operations to Functional Units

- Muxes allow sharing
 - Functional units (FUs) for several operations
 - Registers for several variables




Controller Generation



Building the Sequencer


- **Finite state machine (FSM)**
 - Driving datapath control signals
 - Multiple states/cycles even without conditionals




Hardware Variations

- **Functional Units**
 - Pipelined
 - Multi-cycle
 - Chained
 - Multi-function
- **Storage**
 - Register, register file
 - Single-/multi-ported RAM, ROM
 - FIFO, Scratchpad
- **Interconnect**
 - Bus-based
 - Mux-based
 - Protocol-based

Functional Unit Variations



Storage/Interconnect Variations




ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10

© Jacob Abraham, UT Austin

25

Architectural Pipelining



ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10

© Jacob Abraham, UT Austin

26

Lecture 10: Outline

✓ Introduction

✓ Essential issues

• High-level synthesis flow

- RTL architectures & synthesis models
- Resource- and time-constrained scheduling
- Variable/operation/connection merging (storage/FU/bus resource sharing)
- Chaining and multi-cycling
- Data and control pipelining

RTL Processor Architecture

•Controller

- FSM controller
- Programmable controller

•Datapath components


- Storage components
- Functional units
- Connection components

•Pipelining

- Functional unit
- Datapath
- Control


•Structure

- Chaining
- Multicycling
- Forwarding
- Branch prediction
- Caching




RTL Processor with FSM Controller

- Simple architecture
- Small number of states




RTL with Programmable Control

- Complex architecture
 - Control and datapath pipelining
 - Advanced structural features
- Large number of states (CW or IS)



Hardware Synthesis Design Flow

- **Compilation**
- **Estimation**
- **HLS**
- **Model generation**
- **RTL synthesis**
- **Logic synthesis**
- **Layout**



Synthesis Models

1. Input specification

- Programming language (C/C++, ...)
- Programming semantics requires pre-synthesis optimization
- System description language (SystemC, ...)
- Simulation semantics requires pre-synthesis optimization

2. Control/Data flow graph (CDFG)

- CDFG generation requires dependence analysis

3. Finite state machine with data (FSMD)

- State interpretation requires some kind of scheduling

4. RTL netlist

- RTL design that requires only input and output logic synthesis

5. Hardware description language (Verilog / VHDL)

- HDL description requires RTL library and logic synthesis

C Code for Ones Counter

• Programming language semantics

- Sequential execution,
- Coding style to minimize coding

• HW design

- Parallel execution,
- Communication through signals

```

01: int OnesCounter(int Data) {
02:     int Ocount = 0;
03:     int Temp, Mask = 1;
04:     while (Data > 0) {
05:         Temp = Data & Mask;
06:         Ocount = Data + Temp;
07:         Data >>= 1;
08:     }
09:     return Ocount;
10: }

```

Function-based C code

```

01: while(1) {
02:     while (Start == 0);
03:     Done = 0;
04:     Data = Input;
05:     Ocount = 0;
06:     Mask = 1;
07:     while (Data>0) {
08:         Temp = Data & Mask;
09:         Ocount = Ocount + Temp;
10:         Data >>= 1;
11:     }
12:     Output = Ocount;
13:     Done = 1;
14: }


```

RTL-based C code

CDFG for Ones Counter

• Control/Data Flow Graph (CDFG)

- Resembles programming language
 - Loops, ifs, basic blocks (BBs)
- Explicit dependencies
 - Control dependences between BBs
 - Data dependences inside BBs
- Missing dependencies between BBs




FSMD for Ones Counter

- **FSMD more detailed than CDFG**


- States may represent clock cycles
- Conditionals and statements executed concurrently
- All statement in each state executed concurrently
- Control signal and variable assignments executed concurrently

- **FSMD includes scheduling**

- **FSMD doesn't specify binding or connectivity**



CDFG and FSMD for Ones Counter



RTL Specification for Ones Counter

• RTL Specification

- Controller and datapath netlist
- Input and output tables for logic synthesis
- RTL library needed for netlist


Input logic table

Present State	Inputs:		Next State	Output: Done
	Start	Data = 0		
S0	0	X	S0	X
S0	1	X	S1	X
S1	X	X	S2	0
S2	X	X	S3	0
S3	X	X	S4	0
S4	X	X	S5	0
S5	X	X	S6	0
S6	X	0	S4	0
S6	X	1	S7	0
S7	X	X	S0	1

Output logic table

State	RF Read Port A	RF Read Port B	ALU	Shifter	RF selector	RF Write	Output
S0	X	X	X	X	X	X	Z
S1	X	X	X	X	Inport	RF[0]	Z
S2	RF[2]	RF[2]	subtract	pass	B3	RF[2]	Z
S3	RF[2]	X	increment	pass	B3	RF[1]	Z
S4	RF[0]	RF[1]	AND	pass	B3	RF[3]	Z
S5	RF[2]	RF[3]	add	pass	B3	RF[2]	Z
S6	RF[0]	X	pass	shift right	B3	RF[0]	Z
S7	RF[2]	X	X	X	X	disable	enable

RF[0] = Data, RF[1] = Mask, RF[2] = Ocount, RF[3] = Temp



HDL description of Ones Counter

• HDL description

- Same as RTL description
- Several levels of abstraction
 - Variable binding to storage
 - Operation binding to FUs
 - Transfer binding to connections
- Netlist must be synthesized
- Partial HLS may be needed

```

01: // ...
02: always@(posedge clk)
03: begin : output_logic
04:     case (state)
05:         // ...
06:         S4: begin
07:             B1 = RF[0];
08:             B2 = RF[1];
09:             B3 = alu(B1, B2, l_and);
10:             RF[3] = B3;
11:             next_state = S5;
12:         end
13:         // ...
14:         S7: begin
15:             B1 = RF[2];
16:             Output <= B1;
17:             done <= 1;
18:             next_state = S0;
19:         end
20:     endcase
21: end
22: endmodule

```

Lecture 10: Outline

- ✓ Introduction

- ✓ Essential issues

- **High-level synthesis flow**

- ✓ RTL architectures & synthesis models
- Resource- and time-constrained scheduling
- Variable/operation/connection merging (storage/FU/bus resource sharing)
- Chaining and multi-cycling
- Data and control pipelining

Scheduling

- **Scheduling assigns clock cycles to register transfers**

- **Non-constrained scheduling**

- ASAP scheduling
- ALAP scheduling



- **Constrained scheduling**

- Resource constrained (RC) scheduling
 - Given resources, minimize metrics (time, power, ...)
- Time constrained (TC) scheduling
 - Given time, minimize resources (FUs, storage, connections)



Square-Root Algorithm (SRA)

$$\bullet \text{ SQR} = \max((0.875x + 0.5y), x)$$


- $x = \max(|a|, |b|)$
- $y = \min(|a|, |b|)$




C and CDFG for SRA Algorithm




RC Scheduling




TC Scheduling



Distribution Graphs for TC Scheduling




Initial probability distribution graph




Graph after max, +, and - were scheduled

Distribution Graphs for TC Scheduling



Graph after max, +, -, min, >>3, and >>1 were scheduled



Distribution graph for final schedule

Lecture 10: Outline

✓ Introduction

✓ Essential issues


• High-level synthesis flow

- ✓ RTL architectures & synthesis models
- ✓ Resource- and time-constrained scheduling
- Variable/operation/connection merging (storage/FU/bus resource sharing)
- Chaining and multi-cycling
- Data and control pipelining

Register Sharing

• Register sharing

- Grouping variables with non-overlapping lifetimes
- Sharing reduces connectivity cost




General Partitioning Algorithm

- **Compatibility graph**

- **Compatibility:**
 - Non-overlapping in time
 - Not using the same resource
- **Non-compatible:**
 - Overlapping in time
 - Using the same resource

- **Priority**

- Critical path
- Same source, same destination




ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10


© 2009 D. Gajski, S. Abdi, A. Gerstlauer, G. Schirner

49


Variable Merging for SRA




(a) Initial compatibility graph




(b) Compatibility graph after merging t3, t5, and t6



(c) Compatibility graph after merging t1, x, and t7



(d) Compatibility graph after merging t2 and y



(e) Final compatibility graph

R1 = [a, t1, x, t7]
 R2 = [b, t2, y, t3, t5, t6]
 R3 = [t4]

(f) Final register assignments

ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10


© 2009 D. Gajski, S. Abdi, A. Gerstlauer, G. Schirner

50

Datapath with Shared Registers

- Variables combined into registers
- One functional unit for each operation

R1 = [a, t1, x, t7]
 R2 = [b, t2, y, t3, t5, t6]
 R3 = [t4]




ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10

© 2009 D. Gajski, S. Abdi, A. Gerstlauer, G. Schirner


51

Functional Unit Sharing


- Functional unit sharing
 - Smaller number of FUs
 - Larger connectivity cost



Partial FSM



Non-shared design




Shared design

ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10


© 2009 D. Gajski, S. Abdi, A. Gerstlauer, G. Schirner

52


Operation Merging for SRA




Initial compatibility graph



Compatibility graph after merging of + and -




Compatibility graph after merging of min, +, and -



Final graph partitions

Datapath with Shared Registers and FUs


- Variables combined into registers
- Operations combined into functional units



Datapath with Shared Registers, FUs, Buses

• Minimal SRA architecture

- 3 registers
- 4 (2) functional units
- 4 buses



ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10

© 2009 D. Gajski, S. Abdi, A. Gerstlauer, G. Schirner

57


Register Merging into RFs

• Register merging: port sharing

- Merge registers with non-overlapping access times
- No of ports is equal to simultaneous read/write accesses

R1 = [a, t1, x, t7]
 R2 = [b, t2, y, t3, t5, t6]
 R3 = [t4]


Register assignment



Compatibility graph

	S0	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7
R1		▶	▶	▶				▶
R2		▶	▶	▶	▶	▶		
R3				▶				

Register access table




ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10

© 2009 D. Gajski, S. Abdi, A. Gerstlauer, G. Schirner

58

Datapath with Shared RF

- RF minimize connectivity cost by sharing ports





Lecture 10: Outline

- ✓ Introduction
- ✓ Essential issues
- **High-level synthesis flow**
 - ✓ RTL architectures & synthesis models
 - ✓ Resource- and time-constrained scheduling
 - ✓ Variable/operation/connection merging (storage/FU/bus resource sharing)
 - Chaining and multi-cycling
 - Data and control pipelining

Datapath with Chaining

- Chaining connects two or more FUs
- Allows execution of two or more operation in a single clock cycle
- Improves performance at no cost



ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10



© 2009 D. Gajski, S. Abdi, A. Gerstlauer, G. Schirner

61

Datapath with Chaining and Multi-Cycling

• Multi-cycling

- Operations that take more than one cycle
- Allows use of slower FUs
- Allows faster clock-cycle



ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10


© 2009 D. Gajski, S. Abdi, A. Gerstlauer, G. Schirner

62


Pipelining

- **Functional Unit pipelining**
 - Two or more operation executing at the same time
- **Datapath pipelining**
 - Two or more register transfers executing at the same time
- **Control Pipelining**
 - Two or more instructions generated at the same time



Functional Unit Pipelining (1)



- Operation delay cut in "half"
- Shorter clock cycle
- Dependencies may delay some states
- Extra NO states reduce performance gain




Functional Unit Pipelining (2)




Timing diagram with 4 additional NOP states

	S0	S1	S2	NOP	S3	S4	S5	NOP	S6	NOP	S7	NOP	S8
Read R1		a			t1	t1	X				X		t7
Read R2			b		t2	t2	t3		t5		t6		
Read R3									t4				
ALU stage 1		a	b		max	min	-		+		max		
ALU stage 2			a	b		max	min	-		+		max	
Shifters							>>3	>>1					
Write R1	a					X							t7
Write R2			t1						t5				
Write R3				t2						t6			
Write Out		b			t2	t3	t4						t7



Datapath Pipelining (1)



- Register-to-register delay cut in "equal" parts
- Much shorter clock cycle
- Dependencies may delay some states
- Extra NOP states reduce performance gain



Datapath Pipelining (2)





Timing diagram with additional NOP clock cycles

Cycles	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Read R1		a				t1	t1		x						x			t7
Read R2			b			t2	t2		t3			t5			t6			
Read R3												t4						
ALUIn(L)		a				t1	t1		x						x			
ALUIn(R)			b			t2	t2		t3			t5			t6			
ALUOut			a	b			max	min		-			+			max		
Shifters								>>3	>>1									
Write R1	a				t1			x										t7
Write R2		b				t2		t3				t5						
Write R3									t4					t6				
Write Out																		t7


Datapath and Control Pipelining (1)

- Fetch delay cut into several parts
- Shorter clock cycle
- Conditionals may delay some states
- Extra NOP states reduce performance gain




Data and Control Pipelining (2)

- 3 NOP cycles for the branch
- 2 NOP cycles for data dependence



Timing diagram with additional NOP clock cycles



Operation	Cycle	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Read PC		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Read CWR			S1	NO	NO	NO	S2	NO	NO	S3		
Read RF(L)			a				c			x		
Read RF(R)			b				d			1		
Write ALUIn(L)			a				c			x		
Write ALUIn(R)			b				d			1		
Write ALUOut								c+d			x-1	
Write RF									x			y
Write SR				a>b								
Write PC		11	12	13	14/17	15	16	17	18	19	20	

ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10

© 2009 D. Gajski, S. Abdi, A. Gerstlauer, G. Schirner

69

Lecture 10: Summary

- **Synthesis techniques (binding)**
 - Variable merging (storage sharing)
 - Operation merging (FU sharing)
 - Connection merging (bus sharing)
- **Scheduling**
 - Metric constrained scheduling
- **Architecture techniques**
 - Chaining and Multi-Cycling
 - Data and Control Pipelining
 - Forwarding and Caching
- **Design automation (algorithms)**
 - Formalization / problem definition
 - Scheduling and binding combined?
 - If too complex, use partial order

ECE382M.20: SoC Design, Lecture 10

© 2021 A. Gerstlauer

70