Real-Time Systems / Real-Time Operating Systems

EE445M/EE380L.12, Spring 2018

Final Exam

Date: May 10, 2018

UT EID:

Printed Name: _____

Last,

First

Your signature is your promise that you have not cheated and will not cheat on this exam, nor will you help others to cheat on this exam:

Signature: _____

Instructions:

- Open book and open notes.
- No calculators or any electronic devices (turn cell phones off).
- Please be sure that your answers to all questions (and all supporting work that is required) are contained in the space (boxes) provided.
- Anything outside the boxes will be ignored in grading.
- For all questions, unless otherwise stated, find the most efficient (time, resources) solution.

Problem 1	20	
Problem 2	15	
Problem 3	20 + 10	
Problem 4	25	
Problem 5	10	
Problem 6	5	
Problem 7	5	
Total	100 + 10	

Problem 1 (20 points): Miscellaneous

a) Does it make sense to give a CPU-bound thread higher priority for disk I/O than an I/O bound thread? Why? Explain your answer briefly.

b) A virtual memory system with paging can have external fragmentation, true or false? Why or why not?

c) Virtual address size must be the same as physical address size, true or false, and why? What determines the size of virtual and physical addresses?

d) Describe two situations in which spinlock (busy waiting) semaphores can be more appropriate than blocking ones.

e) Assume you have a system in which there can be a circular wait pattern in the resourceallocation graph. Is this a definite sign of a deadlock? Why or why not?

f) Can a system with all jobs having the same priority ever suffer from the priority inversion problem? Why or why not?

g) Consider an RTOS implementing a priority scheduler, where two tasks having the same priority is not allowed (such as in uCOS). Does the OS ever require a context switch in the default periodic SysTick handler?

Problem 2 (15 points): Thread Synchronization

Malek, Shailesh, and Shan go to an Indian restaurant at a busy time of the day. The waiter apologetically explains that the restaurant can provide only two pairs of spoons (for a total of four spoons) to be shared among the three people. Shailesh proposes that all four spoons be placed in an empty glass at the center of the table and that each diner should obey the following protocol (where spoon is a semaphore that is initialized to 4):

```
while (!had_enough_to_eat()) {
   OS_Wait(&spoon);
   OS_Wait(&spoon);
   eat();
   OS_Signal(&spoon);
   OS_Signal(&spoon);
   }
}
```

a) Can this dining plan lead to a deadlock? Explain your answer.

b) Can there ever be a deadlock depending on the number of spoons? If so, show such a deadlock scenario. What is the minimum number of spoons for there not to be a deadlock?

c) Suppose now that instead of three there will be an arbitrary number of *D* diners. Furthermore, each diner d = 1..D may require a different number of S_d spoons to eat. For example, it is possible that one of the diners is an octopus, who for some reason refuses to begin eating before acquiring $S_{octopus} = 8$ spoons. What is the smallest number of spoons needed to ensure that deadlock can not occur?

Problem 3 (20 + 10 points): Synchronization Primitives

In class, we discussed that atomic test-and-set and more generalized compare-and-swap operations can provide minimal canonical primitives to solve any synchronization problem, and that such operations have thus historically been directly implemented in hardware in many machines.

a) Our ARM does not provide built-in test-and-set or compare-and-swap operations. Shown below is C code for a software implementation on the ARM. This code is not atomic and thus has race conditions. Describe possible race conditions. Modify the code to make it atomic. You can assume that standard OS primitives are available:

```
void DisableInterrupts(void);
void EnableInterrupts(void);
long StartCritical(void);
void EndCritical(long);
```

```
int compare_and_swap(int* dst, int expected, int new) {
     int cur;
     cur = *dst;
     if(cur == expected) {
           *dst = new;
     }
     return cur;
int test_and_set(int* dst) { // binary version
     return compare and swap(dst, 0, 1);
```

b) Implement binary spin-lock semaphores in C using only test-and-set or compare-and-swap primitives.

```
void OS_bInitSemaphore(int *semaPt) { // initialize to be unlocked
}
void OS_bWait(int *semaPt) {
}
void OS_bSignal(int* semaPt) {
}
```

c) In addition to semaphores themselves, test-and-set and compare-and-swap primitives allow implementing so-called non-blocking or lock-free data structures that are made thread-safe without traditional coarse-grain and expensive blocking using semaphores. Shown below is the code for inserting a TCB into the OS ready queue as called from *OS_AddThread()* shown in the midterm. Modify (add/delete/replace) the code to make it thread-safe using only compare-and-swap or test-and-set.

```
void Q_Insert(struct TCB **queue, struct TCB *new) {
    new->next = *queue;
    *queue = new;
```

d) (**Required for graduate students, extra credit for undergraduates**) What are advantages and disadvantages of lock-free programming versus use of semaphores? When can and should it be used, when can it not be used?

e) (**Required for graduate students, extra credit for undergraduates**) Instead of native testand-set or compare-and-swap, the ARM provides hardware support for synchronization through LDREX/STREX instructions. Implement compare-and-swap in assembly using LDREX/STREX.

compare_and_swap

Problem 4 (25 points): Filesystems

Assume you have a disk with a filesystem using indexed allocation, where the first blocks on the disk store the directory information and the global index table.

a) Assuming a disk with 65536 blocks of 512 byte each, what is the size of the index table and how many blocks on disk does it occupy? What is the largest disk size supported if the index table must fit into one disk block? What if it needs to fit into half a block?

Size of index table & number of index blocks for 65536 block disk?	
Largest disk size with 1 block index table?	
Largest disk size with ¹ ⁄2 block index table?	

b) What is the reliability of this filesystem? Can the files on disk be partially or completely recovered if i) the directory block(s), ii) the index table block(s) or iii) any other block(s) on disk are damaged? What can and cannot be recovered in each case?

Block(s) lost	Recoverable?
i) Directory	
ii) Index table	
iii) Other	

c) To improve reliability (while maintaining fast random access capabilities), indexed allocation can be combined with linked allocation. Shown below is a disk using such a combined filesystem, where the first two blocks on disk normally store the directory and index table, but have been damaged. The first two bytes of each regular block otherwise contain a pointer to the address of the next block in the file, 0xFFFF if the block is the last one, or 0x0000 if the block does not belong to a file (is empty). Assuming the directory and index blocks have both been damaged, what can be recovered from the remaining disk information? For the specific disk content below, recover as much information as possible to fill in the missing directory and index table information.



d) How would the recovered directory and index table be different when block 14 would also be unreadable in the example above?

Problem 5 (10 points): Relocation

Given the following simple user program to be loaded and executed by the OS:

```
// Display_Message prototype
#include "display.h"
int live = 0;
char* msg = "Hello";
void inc(void)
{
    live++;
}
int main(void)
{
    inc();
    Display_Message(0, 0, msg, live);
}
```

For each of the following two disassembled program data and code regions as generated by different compiler variants, is the code position-independent, i.e. will it be able to execute as is when loaded into an arbitrary location in memory? If not, indicate which lines of code are not and show how the code will need to be relocated by the OS at load time. You can assume that the OS will set R9 appropriately when launching the process to execute the program.

a)

0x20000000	0000	DCW	0x0000
0x20000004		DCB	"Hello",0
Displa	y_Message		
0x00000092	F8DFC004	LDR	r12,[pc,#4] ; @0x0000009A
0x00000096	4760	BX	r12
0x0000009A	00000000	DCD	0x0000000
inc			
0x00000110	4802	LDR	r0,[pc,#8] ; @0x0000011C
0x00000112	6800	LDR	r0,[r0,#0x00]
0x00000114	1C40	ADDS	r0,r0,#1
0x00000116	4901	LDR	r1,[pc,#4] ; @0x0000011C
0x00000118	6008	STR	r0,[r1,#0x00]
0x0000011A	4770	BX	lr
0x0000011C	20000000	DCD	0x2000000
main			
0x00000120	B510	PUSH	{r4,lr}
0x00000122	F7FFFF5	BL	inc (0x0000110)
0x00000126	4804	LDR	r0,[pc,#16] ; @0x00000138
0x00000128	4A04	LDR	r2,[pc,#16] ; @0x0000013C
0x0000012A	2100	MOVS	r1,#0x00
0x0000012C	6803	LDR	r3,[r0,#0x00]
0x0000012E	4608	MOV	r0,r1
0x00000130	f7ffffaf	BL	Display_Message (0x00000092)
0x00000134	2000	MOVS	r0,#0x00
0x00000136	BD10	POP	{r4,pc}
0x00000138	20000000	DCD	0x2000000
0x0000013C	20000004	DCD	0x2000004

b)

0x20000004	0000	DCW	0x0000
0x20000008		DCB	"Hello",0
Display	y_Message		
0x00000040	DF2A	SVC	0x2A
0x00000042	4770	BX	lr
inc			
0x000000BC	4803	LDR	r0,[pc,#12] ; @0x000000CC
0x000000BE	4448	ADD	r0,r0,r9
0x000000C0	6800	LDR	r0,[r0,#0x00]
0x000000C2	1C40	ADDS	r0,r0,#1
0x00000C4	4901	LDR	r1,[pc,#4] ; @0x000000CC
0x00000C6	4449	ADD	r1,r1,r9
0x00000C8	6008	STR	r0,[r1,#0x00]
0x00000CA	4770	BX	lr
0x000000CC	00000004	DCD	0x0000004
main			
0x00000D0	B510	PUSH	{r4,lr}
0x000000D2	F7FFFFF3	BL	inc (0x00000BC)
0x00000D6	4805	LDR	r0,[pc,#20] ; @0x000000EC
0x00000D8	4448	ADD	r0,r0,r9
0x00000DA	4A05	LDR	r2,[pc,#20] ; @0x000000F0
0x00000DC	444A	ADD	r2,r2,r9
0x00000DE	2100	MOVS	r1,#0x00
0x00000E0	6803	LDR	r3,[r0,#0x00]
0x00000E2	4608	MOV	r0,r1
0x00000E4	F7FFFFAC	BL	Display_Message (0x00000040)
0x00000E8	2000	MOVS	r0,#0x00
0x000000EA	BD10	POP	{r4,pc}
0x000000EC	00000004	DCD	0x0000004
0~000000	00000008	DCD	0x0000008

Problem 6 (5 points): Heap

Consider a 4kB heap in the state as shown below after the given sequence of malloc() and free() calls has been executed. Assume that the heap manager does not require any overhead for extra meta-data, and that the heap is allocated from bottom to top, i.e. a block always ends up being placed at the bottom of its chosen free space region. What allocation strategy (first/best/worst fit) does the heap use?



Problem 7 (5 points): CAN

Consider a CAN network with 4 microcontrollers in which microcontroller M0 periodically sends messages with ID 14 to microcontroller M1 every 31ms, and microcontroller M2 periodically sends messages with ID 4 to microcontroller M3 every 11ms. What is the maximum jitter experienced by M1 and M3 in receiving their messages? Show the result as a function of the frame delay t_f (= time to complete a single message transfer).