The Standard Performance Equation

\[ T = \ell \times CPI \times t_c \]

- **Path Length**
  - Algorithm
  - Language
  - Compiler
  - ISA

- **Cycles Per Instruction**
  - ISA
  - Organization
    - Pipelining
    - Issue Rate
    - Branch Handling

- **Clock**
  - Technology
  - Organization
Means

* Arithmetic Mean

\[ A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i \]

* Geometric Mean

\[ G = \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^{n} P_i} \]

* Harmonic Mean

\[ H = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{P_i}} \]
How Do We Measure

Degree of Santizing

Real Hardware  Simulation  Analytic Model

Real Hardware
- "Gotchas" Have a chance to get in the way
- Least Flexible
- Fast for doing thorough job

Simulation
- Some effects are missing
- Most Flexible
- Slowest

Analytic Model
- Good for gross effects
- Must be validated
How Do We Measure (Continued)

Invasiveness

Hardware Instrumentation  Microcode Instrumentation  Software Monitoring

Hardware Instrumentation
- Most Expensive
- Non-Invasive
- Least Flexible

Microcoded Instrumentation
- Best of Both Worlds
- SPAM

Software Monitoring
- Cheap
- Very Invasive
- Most Flexible
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Benchmarks

Rationale: Find a set of programs or program fragments representative of the workload you will be requiring of the machine

Types:

1. The ADD instruction - very old
2. Instruction MIX - Old (Gibson MIX, 1959)
3. Kernels
   - e.g., Livermore Loops
4. Synthetic Benchmarks
   - Parameterized
   - Careful: RRW is not RWR
5. Toy Benchmarks
   - Easy to hand-compile
   - Pretty much in disrepute today e.g., Towers of Hanoi
6. SPEC Suite (Systems Performance Evaluation Co-operative)
   - At least common agreement, I Guess!!
7. Real Workload
Bad Ways to Measure Performance
(... and each has been used and reported in the Open Literature)

* Apples & Oranges
  - A Lightly Loaded VAX vs. Counting Simulated Cycles

* Who Gets the Credit
  - The Architecture or the Compiler
  - Example: Berkeley Pascal vs VMS Pascal
  - Algorithm Optimizations
  - Instruction set or register windows (Colwell)

* Choice on Benchmarks
  - Selective
    * Overstates significance of one feature
      e.g. Regularity (Fl. Pt.)
      e.g. Procedure Call Intensive
      e.g. No Floating Point
  - Small
    * 100% Cache, TB Hits
    * No I/O, Context Switch
Play with Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Program A</th>
<th>Program B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Machine 1:</td>
<td>1 unit</td>
<td>2 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine 2:</td>
<td>2 units</td>
<td>1 unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Machine 1 is $\frac{2}{1}$ on A, $\frac{1}{2}$ on B

Speed Up is $\frac{1}{2} (2 + \frac{1}{2}) = 1.25$

Too Focused on Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Execution Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOVL</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>