A Theory about the Structure of GTSEs

Dewayne E Perry ENS 623 Perry@ece.utexas.edu

Separation of Concerns

* An important *separation of concerns* – distinguish between

- * Theories about *software engineers* (SEs)
 - > As people (individual or in teams), as designers, as creators, as programmers, as architects, as engineers, etc
 - How people and teams interact, cooperate to create and evolve software systems
 - Cognition is located here
- * Theories about *software engineering* (SEing)
 - > The actual crafting and engineering of software systems
 - > The structure of the artifacts
 - > How to create and evolve them
 - > Techniques and structures to manage complexity is here
- * Theories about *software project management* (SPM)
 - > Managing software engineers and software engineering
 - > How to best organize and assign people given resources
 - > Managing project resources, roles, etc

Separation of Concerns

- * Theories about the relationship between the theories of software engineers and software engineering
 - Eg, various cognitive issues for SEs are related to various principles and structures used in SEing
- * Theories about the relationships between theories of project management, software engineers, and software engineering
 - Eg, SPM is concerned about the utility and effectiveness of SEs and the progress, quality and cost of SEing
 - > Eg, PM metrics and productivity of SEs
 - > Eg, SE roles and responsibilities wrt SEing artifacts
- * I am primarily interested in *Theories about Software* Engineering
- But ultimately will want to compose?/integrate? theories of SE, SPM, SEing, SE-SEing, and SPM-SE-SEing

Background

- * Software Engineering
 - * A broad complex field
 - * Fundamental software engineering principles:
 - > Modularity
 - > Encapsulation
 - > Abstraction
 - > Separation of concerns
 - * These principles should apply to General Theories of Software Engineering (GTSEs) as well
 - > GTSE will also be complex
- * SEMAT 2013 one result of the discussion
 - ★ Just as our software systems are component-based, multilevel, we need to think about a multi-level GTSE
- * SEMAT 2014 Wieringa's paper
 - * Argues for a variety of "middle-range" theories rather than one grand theory

Our Theory

- * Using the Perry/Wolf architecture metaphor
 - * http://users.ece.utexas.edu/~perry/work/papers/swa-sen.pdf
- * Proposed architectural structure of a GTSE
 - ***** Component theories
 - > Major components for example
 - ✓ Business Strategy and Economics
 - ✓ Software Project Management
 - ✓ Software Engineers
 - ✓ Software Engineering
 - ***** Connector theories
 - Relationships and interdependencies among component theories for example
 - ✓ Cognition a critical element of a theory about software engineers
 - ✓ Structural complexity a critical element in theory of components
 - \checkmark A connector theory would delineate the relationship between the two
 - Eg, see Bill Curtis et al, "Measuring the psychological complexity of software maintenance tasks with the Halstead and McCabe metrics." *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 5 (2), 96-104. (1979)

An Example Overview of Components

- * Business Strategy and Tactics Economics
 - ***** The business folks can address these issues for example
 - ***** Core competencies
 - * Market windows
 - Perceived demand
 - ★ Costs and profit
- Project Management possible components
 - * Planning
 - > Effort Estimation
 - > Resource Costs
 - > Project Planning
 - > Project Constraints
 - * Resource Allocation
 - ***** Monitoring and Metrics

An Example Overview of Components

* Software Engineers - some component theories

★ As Individuals

- Basic skills
 - ✓ Programmers as knowledge-based understanders
 - $\checkmark\,$ Distributed cognition in software teams
- > Training, education, and experience
- > Judgment
- ***** As Members of Teams
 - \succ Team formation
 - > Team structure

An Example Overview of Components

- * Software Engineering some component theories
 - ***** Software Architecture
 - > Components capturing computation
 - > Connectors capturing interactions and relationships
 - * UML Diagrams captures design level
 - Classes
 - > Relationships
 - * Model Driven Engineering (MDE)
 - > Metamodels
 - > Compositions
 - * Software Product Lines
 - > Features
 - Feature Interactions
 - ★ Software Design in general
 - Satisfiability problems

Lecture 4

Examples of Connector Theories

- * A Relationship between software engineers and software engineering: *cognition, complexity, and software structure*
 - ***** Software structure extremely complex
 - * Complexity: partly structural, partly cognitive (cf Curtis)
 - > Primary issue: relationship and interdependency between
 - ✓ Cognitive load
 - ✓ Program structure
 - > Curtis et al provide a connector theory
 - ***** SE techniques to reduce or manage complexity
 - Structured programming
 - > Modularity
 - Encapsulation
 - > Abstraction
 - \checkmark Parameterization
 - \checkmark Information hiding
 - > [OO captures these three in Classes]

Examples of Connector Theories

- ***** Techniques reduce cognitive load
 - > Simplify similar pieces of code
 - Reduces amount of code where there is pervasive use of abstraction
 - Simplifies interfaces
 - > Provides intuitive organization
- * Project Planning and Software Engineer Estimates
 - ***** Software Engineers where multiple hats
 - \succ A designer hat and an estimator hat among them
 - * Project Planning requires estimates as to how much time is needed for a particular activity
 - * There are two forms of time: race time & lapse time
 - > SEs tend to think in race time
 - > Project Planners tend to think in lapse time
 - > Our studies in 5ESS showed a factor of 2.5 difference there

Summary

- * A full GTSE is analogous to a very large complex system
 - ***** Needs to be decomposed into pieces
 - * Modularity, encapsulation, and abstraction are needed
 - * Multi-level architecture is an appropriate model
- $\ast\,$ Our theory about the architectural structure of a GTSE
 - ***** Component theories to capture domain specific theories
 - ***** Connector theories to capture inter-relationship theories
 - Hierarchical decompositions to refine complex component and connector theories - ie, recursively refine and explicate
- * We have illustrated our theory with examples
- * Our the simple elegance of this approach provides two basic elements to be used recursively to expand the full space of general theories of software engineering.