IMPACT OF SOCIAL TIES ON OPEN SOURCE PROJECT TEAM FORMATION

Jungpil Hahn Krannert School of Management Purdue University West Lafayette, IN 47907 jphahn@krannert.purdue.edu

Jae Yun Moon HKUST Business School Hong Kong University of Science and Technology Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong jmoon@ust.hk

> Chen Zhang Krannert School of Management Purdue University West Lafayette, IN 47907 zhang153@ krannert.purdue.edu

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we empirically examined the role of social ties in OSSD team formation and developer joining behavior. We find that the existence and the amount of prior social relations in the network do increase the probability of an OSS project to attract more developers. Interestingly, for projects without preexisting social ties, developers tend to join the project initiated by people with less OSSD experience. This research fills a gap in the open source literature by conducting an empirical investigation of the role of social relations on project team formation behavior. Furthermore, the adoption of social network analysis, which has received little attention in the OSS literature, can yield some interesting results on the interactions among OSS developers.

Keywords: Open source software (OSS); open source software development (OSSD); social capital; strong ties; collaborative team

1. BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

The creation of industrial-strength software code (or software development) has traditionally been regarded as an activity that can only be effectively conducted and managed within a firm setting. Recently however, an alternative model of software development, the open source software development (OSSD) in which programmers in Internet-based communities collaborate to voluntarily contribute programming code, has emerged as a promising approach to developing high-quality software (Raymond et al. 2001). During the past few years, a number of open source software (OSS) products, ranging from end-user applications (e.g., Emacs and OpenOffice), programming languages (e.g., Perl and PHP) to applications supporting the Internet infrastructure (e.g., sendmail), have been widely adopted. The prominence garnered by well-known OSS projects such as the Apache Web Server and the Linux operating system kernel are testimonies to the attractiveness and viability of OSSD as an alternative to the conventional proprietary model of producing software (O'Reilly 1999; Raymond 2000; Raymond et al. 2001).

Despite the impressive success of some OSSD projects, it is a harsh reality that the vast majority of OSS projects fail to take off and become abandoned. One of the main reasons cited for the failure of OSS projects is the lack of developers in the project teams, or the inability of the project to bring together a critical mass of developers (Lerner et al. 2001; O'Reilly 1999). Since it is typically the case that OSSD projects do not provide monetary rewards for developers' contributions, many OSSD projects are under-staffed and consequently are not well-equipped to deal with the complexity in software development. Hence, in order to understand and solve the key problems related to staffing, it is important to understand the dynamics of software team formation – how developers self-organize into project teams.

In this paper, we undertake an empirical examination of the formation of OSS project teams from a social network perspective. The OSSD community is essentially a complex collaborative social network endowed with social capital. Just as the social position of a firm within interorganizational networks influences its alliance strategies and consequent outcomes (Gulati 1995; Powell et al. 1996), we argue that social relations forged during past collaborations will have an impact on how OSS project teams take form. However, despite the apparent relevance and importance of social capital in OSSD, only a few studies have examined its impact on developer behavior in team formation from a social network perspective. In this paper, we ask ourselves

whether the existence and amount of prior social ties in an OSS project helps it attract additional developers. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present our theoretical background and develop our research hypotheses. We outline the empirical research methodology in section 3 and present the results in section 4. We conclude in section 5 by discussing the implications, contributions and directions for future research.

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND AND RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

This study draws from two streams of research -1) open source software development (OSSD), and 2) social network analysis and network structure. We review and synthesize the relevant literature to develop our research hypotheses.

2.1. Open Source Software Development (OSSD)

Since its emergence, OSSD has posed many interesting questions for researchers in many fields. A number of researchers have addressed the factors that motivate individuals to participate in OSSD despite the lack of monetary compensation. Among the possible explanations for developers' participation in OSS projects are incentives related to career concerns and ego gratification (Lerner et al. 2002). Hars and Qu (2002) identify both internal motivations such as altruism and external motivations such as direct compensation. Another study surveys the motives of the contributors to a large OSS project and finds that developers' participation is mainly driven by their group identification, by the possibility of improving their own software, and by the tolerance of time investments (Hertel et al. 2003). Lakhani and Wolf (2005) identify that enjoyment-based intrinsic motivation, user need, and learning effect are the most pervasive drivers for developer participation. In summary, they suggest that developers participate in OSSD mainly because of intrinsic factors such as enjoyment and external factors such as career advancement. However, the motivations as identified from these surveys of developers do not explain *which* of the many possible similar projects developers choose to join. When deciding whether to become a team member for an OSS project, besides the previously cited motivational factors, a developer also tends to be concerned about issues related to coordination and communication with other team members. In general, when forming teams people prefer to work with those with whom they have worked in the past (Hinds et al. 2000). Familiarity bred from preexisting social relations with others can facilitate the newcomer's

socialization process. Hence, we identify and test social ties among developers as a potential driver behind developer joining behavior and project team formation.

2.2. Social Network Analysis

Social network analysis aims to understand the relationships between people, groups, organizations, and other types of social entities (Granovetter 1973; Wasserman et al. 1994; Wellman et al. 1998), and has been used extensively in fields such as sociology (Cook et al. 1992; Wasserman et al. 1994), organizational behavior (Borgatti et al. 2003; Tsai 2001) among others (Huang et al. 2005; Singh 2005), A social network is modeled as a graph with nodes representing the individual actors in the network and ties representing the relationships between the actors.

In a social network the actors maintain a tie by exchanging either tangible or intangible resources such as information, goods and services, and financial support. The strength of a social tie varies depending on a number of factors. Granovetter (1973) distinguishes between strong and weak ties and asserts that tie strength depends on the amount of time, the emotional intensity, the intimacy, and the reciprocal services associated with the relationship. Strong ties are characterized by a sense of special relationship, an interest in frequent interactions, and a sense of mutuality of the relationship (Walker et al. 1994). In contrast, weak ties are maintained infrequently or indirectly between the actors who belong to different social clusters. Both strong ties and weak ties play an important and differential role in a social network. Strong ties maintain and promote trust and collaboration whereas weak ties enable actors to access resources and information that are unavailable in their immediate social circles (Burt 1992; Granovetter 1973).

2.3. Social Network Perspectives of Open Source Software Development

Although it has been recognized early on that OSSD has become a significant social phenomenon and that OSS developers and users form a complex social network via various electronic communication channels on the Internet (von Hippel et al. 2003), few researchers have examined this phenomenon from a social network perspective. Madey, Freeh, and Tynan (2002) conducted the first empirical investigation of the open source movement by modeling OSS projects as a collaborative social network and find that the OSSD community can be modeled as

a self-organizing social network. Others propose the methodology of applying social network analysis to data gathered from CVS code repositories of OSS projects (Lopez-Fernandez et al. 2004). Xu, Gao, Christley, and Madey (2005) explored some social network properties in the open source community to identify patterns of collaborations. However, the works cited above tend to be highly technical and mainly investigate the network properties of the OSSD community, offering limited theoretical and practical contributions. The work most similar to our research is done by Ducheneaut (2005) who examined the socialization process of newcomers over time as a learning process and a political process by analyzing the developer activities in a large OSS project.

2.4. Research Hypotheses

Conventionally, project teams are formed by a manager assigning individuals to a team based on certain characteristics such as expertise and personality. An alternative approach is driven by team members' self-selection into teams. As such, in OSSD, some project managers may formally recruit developers¹ (e.g., by submitting position openings and required qualifications to the entire community), or alternatively developers may volunteer themselves into a project team or be invited to participate in a project team by its existing members. Prior literature suggests people are more likely to work together when there exists social ties between them (McClelland et al. 1953; Schachter 1959). Furthermore, teams consisting of individuals with preexisting relationship ties have been shown to solve complex problems better than teams of strangers because they are able to pool information more efficiently (Gruenfeld et al. 1996). Furthermore, in the open source context, given the lack of opportunities of face-to-face contact, developers would be quite concerned about effective communication and coordination. Hence, if a developer has direct social relations with the existing members of a project, he or she can be assured that coordination and communication with other team members would be more efficient and effective due to the shared context accrued from prior interactions. We propose the following hypothesis with regard to the impact of preexisting social ties on open source team formation:

¹ Interestingly, the extent of recruiting is surprisingly low based on our informal observations. For example, there are only about 200 position openings posted on SourceForge.net. When we consider that there are currently over 100,000 OSS projects are hosted on SourceForge.net, this number is quite inconsequential.

H1: Projects having members with preexisting social ties with the network are more likely to have other developers join the development team than those with members without preexisting ties.

Projects can fall into two categories depending on whether or not their initiators have relationship ties in the network. Some projects are initiated by those developers who have participated in other projects and formed social relationships with other developers in the community. For this type of projects, the more social ties the initiators have, the larger will be the pool of potential developers. Consequently, these projects will be able to attract or invite others into the development team more easily. Therefore, we propose the following hypothesis about the amount of preexisting strong ties in a project:

H2: For those projects with members with preexisting social ties with the network, the amount of such ties is positively associated with the probability of having other developers join the project team.

It may not necessarily be the case that projects are initiated by developers who are well connected to the network. Some projects may well be initiated by those developers who have yet to collaborate with other developers even though some of them may have been involved in software projects before (i.e., self-developed projects). In such cases, developers with prior open source project experience will have a better knowledge about OSS development and management. As a result, projects initiated by developers with prior experience may be more likely to have additional team members than projects initiated by developers with no prior experience. We propose the following hypothesis:

H3: For those projects with initiators without preexisting social ties in the network, the experience of initiators is positively associated with the probability of having other developers join the project team.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Data Collection and Measures

Data were collected from the open source software projects hosted on SourceForge.net. As the largest repository of open source applications on the Internet, SourceForge.net currently provides free hosting to more than 100,000 projects and more than 1,100,000 subscribers. It also offers a variety of services to hosted projects, including site hosting, mailing lists, bug tracking,

message boards, file archiving, and other project management tools. SourceForge.net has been an attractive source of data for many researchers studying open source software mainly due to the abundance of publicly accessible data (Howison et al. 2004).

We randomly selected 1030 new projects that were registered between September and November in 2005. A web crawler downloaded the HTML files containing project summary data and developer information on the date of registration. We revisited sample projects one month after their respective registration dates to identify those developers who have subsequently joined. This process enables us to distinguish between the initiator and the developers who subsequently join. Further, in order to identify the social ties of the developers, we further collected data on the other projects that each developer has participated in before to identify their past collaborators. Based on this data, we are able to construct affiliation matrices of developers and projects that depict the existence and strengths of the relationships ties between developers.

The following measures were computed for empirical analysis (see Table 1).

Tuble 1 Summe	if y of measures
Variable	Definition
Dependent Variable	
DevelopersJoin	1 if at least one developer joined the project within the first month of project initiation, 0
	otherwise.
Independent Variab	le
InitiatorHasTies	1 if project initiator(s) have preexisting social ties in the network, 0 otherwise.
InitiatorTiesAmount	The amount of direct ties that the project initiators have prior to project registration
	calculated as the number of distinct developers who have collaborated with the project
	initiator(s).
InitiatorExperience	Number of projects that the project initiators have participated in before.
Control Variables	
NumInitiators	Number of project initiators ² .
ProjAmbiguity	Level of ambiguity of project definition (i.e., how ill-defined a project is) calculated as the
	number of project characteristics left undefined ³ .

 Table 1 – Summary of Measures

² The granularity of data collection is daily. In other words, we were unable to distinguish between initiators and subsequent joiners if the project registration and the developer's join event happened on the same day. We classified all members that joined on the day of registration as initiators.

³ On SourceForge.net, project administrators may clarify the details of the project in terms of several characteristics such development status, database environment, intended audience, license type, operating system, programming language, software category, translations and nature of user interface.

3.2. Results

The descriptive statistics and pairwise correlations of the measures for the sample are summarized in Table 2. The highest correlation between the independent variables is between *InitiatorHasTies* and *InitiatorTiesAmount* ($\rho = 0.333$, p < 0.001). The sample projects had 1.13 initiators on average. Within the first month 43% of the 1030 projects had at least one developer joining the development team. Majority of these projects (55%) attracted one developer, 170 projects (40%) had added two to five developers, and 20 projects (5%) had more than five additional developers.

	Descriptive Statistics				Correlations				
Variable	Mean	St. Dev	Min	Max	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(1) DeveloerJoin	0.43	0.495	0.00	1.00					
(2) InitatorHasTies	0.26	0.441	0.00	1.00	0.06^{*}				
(3) InitiatorTieAmount	4.01	20.149	0.00	330.00	0.09^{***}	0.33^{***}			
(4) InitiatorExperience	1.12	4.708	0.00	81.00	-0.04	0.33***	0.22^{***}		
(5) NumInitiators	1.13	0.510	1.00	9.00	-0.01	0.18***	0.13^{***}	0.30^{***}	
(6) ProjAmbuguity	3.92	3.216	0.00	7.00	-0.22***	-0.05***	-0.05*	0.00	-0.09***
Note: Sample size $N = 10$)30.								
Significance Levels: *** 0.01, ** 0.05, * 0.1									

Table 2 – Descriptive Statistics

Since our dependent measure (i.e., *DevelopersJoin*) is binary, we test the impact of existence of initiators prior social ties on developer joining behavior (hypothesis H1) by estimating the parameters for the following logistic regression model:

$logit(P(DevelopersJoin = 1)) = \alpha + \beta_1 Initiator HasTies + \beta_2 NumInitiators + \beta_3 Initiator Experience + \beta_4 ProjAmbiguity + \varepsilon$

A positive and significant estimate of parameter β_1 would indicate that the probability of other developers becoming members of a project whose initiators have direct social ties is greater than that of a project whose initiators have no direct social ties in the network. The results of the logistic regression are presented in Table 3 (Model 1). The model shows a good fit with the data (likelihood ratio $\chi^2 = 58.428$, p < 0.01). The parameter estimate for *InitiatorHasTies* is positive and significant ($\beta_1 = 0.389$, p < 0.05). The results suggests that projects with initiators who have preexisting ties with the developer network are 47.6% more likely to have at least one additional developer join the project team compared to those with initiators who do not have any preexisting ties with the network (H1 supported).

Next we test the impact of number of prior social ties on developer joining behavior (hypothesis H2) by estimating the parameters for the following logistic regression model: $logit(P(DevelopersJoin = 1)) = \alpha + \beta_1 InitiatorTieAmount + \beta_2 NumInitiators + \beta_3 InitiatorExperience + \beta_4 ProjAmbiguity + \varepsilon$

The results of the logistic regression are presented in Table 3 (Model 2). The model shows good fit with the data (likelihood ratio $\chi^2 = 24.556$, p < 0.01). The parameter estimate for *InitiatorTieAmount* is significantly positive ($\beta_1 = 0.0145$, p < 0.05). The results suggest that an additional tie for an initiator increases the likelihood of at least one developer joining the project team by $1.5\%^4$. Given that on average an initiator has had prior relationships with approximately 4 other developers, this would amount to an average increase in the likelihood by 6%. Thus, projects with initiators with more ties with the developer network are more likely to attract additional developers than those with initiators with fewer direct ties (H2 supported).

Finally, we examine the impact of initiators' experience on developer joining behavior for those projects without preexisting social ties (hypothesis H3). We estimate the parameters for the following logistic regression model:

logit $(P(DevelopersJoin = 1)) = \alpha + \beta_1 Initiator Experience + \beta_2 NumInitiators + \beta_3 ProjAmbiguity + \varepsilon$

Table 3 (Model 3) summarizes the results of the logistic regression. The model shows a good fit with the data (likelihood ratio $\chi^2 = 51.092$, p < 0.01). The parameter estimate for *InitiatorExperience* is significant but negative ($\beta_1 = -0.604$, p < 0.01), indicating that projects whose initiators have more OSSD experience are less likely to attract additional developers than those whose initiators have less OSSD experience, a result which may seem counter-intuitive. An alternative explanation may be that developers in the OSS community support newcomers by joining their projects and at the same time expand their existing social relationships. Therefore, hypothesis *H3* that for those projects without preexisting strong social ties the experience of initiators tend to have a positive impact on the probability of having other developers join the project team was not confirmed by the results.

⁴ Given that on average an initiator (with preexisting ties) has had prior relationships with approximately 15 other developers, this would amount to an average increase in the likelihood by 22.5%.

Variable	Model 1 (H1)		Mode	2 (H2)	Model 3 (H3)		
	Parameter Estimate	Odds Ratio	Parameter Estimate	Odds Ratio	Parameter Estimate	Odds Ratio	
Constant	0.2878		0.4896*		0.4986*		
InitiatorHasTies	0.3891**	1.476					
InitatorTieAmount			0.0145^{**}	1.015			
InitiatorExperience	-0.0464	0.955	-0.0363	0.964	-0.6040***	0.547	
NumInitiators	-0.0742	0.928	-0.0997	0.905	-0.1787	0.836	
ProjAmbiguity	-0.1416***	0.868	-0.1400***	0.869	-0.1432***	0.867	
Model Statistics							
Sample Size (N)	1030		271		759		
Likelihood Ratio (χ^2)	58.428***		24.556***		51.092***		
Significance levels: ***	0.01, ** 0.05, * 0.	1			•		

 Table 3 – Logistic Regression Results

6. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSIONS

In this study we investigated the role of social ties in OSSD team formation. Specifically, we examined whether the existence of prior social ties impacts the probability of an OSS project to attract more developers. We find that overall the existence of prior social ties does increase the probability that developers join a project. We also find that, for projects with preexisting social ties, the number of such ties has a positive influence on whether additional developers join the project. Interestingly, for projects without preexisting social ties, developers tend to join the project initiated by people with less OSSD experience. This research fills a gap in the open source literature by conducting an empirical investigation of the role of social relations on project team formation behavior. Second, the adoption of social network analysis, which has received little attention in the OSS literature, can yield some interesting results on the interactions among OSS developers.

However, the study has some limitations. For example, we only look at joining behavior within the first month after project registration. The joining behavior may differ during different stages of project development. While controlling for development stage would shed more theoretical insights, practically many newly registered projects do not define their development stages explicitly, which limits our ability to incorporate this factor into the analysis. Moreover, we assume that developers who have collaborated on a project before have developed direct social ties of uniform strength. In reality, the strength of the tie may depend on many factors such as developers' roles, duration of collaboration, and outcome of the collaboration. We hope to distinguish the strength of social ties in a follow-up study. An important extension of this paper is to study the effect of developer joining behavior on the network structural characteristics within project team as well as its performance implications.

REFERENCES

- Borgatti, S.P., and Foster, P.C. "The Network Paradigm in Organizational Research: A Review and Typology," *Journal of Management* (29:6) 2003, pp 991-1013.
- Burt, R. *Structural Holes: The Social Structure of Competition* Harvard University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- Cook, K.S., and Whitmeyer, J.M. "Two Approaches to Social Structure: Exchange Theory and Network Analysis," *Annual Review of Sociology* (18) 1992, pp 109-127.
- Ducheneaut, N. "Socialization in an Open Source Software Community: A Socio-Technical Analysis," *Computer Supported Cooperative Work* (14) 2005, pp 323-368.
- Granovetter, M. "The strength of Weak Ties," *American Journal of Sociology* (78) 1973, pp 1360–1380.
- Gruenfeld, D.H., Mannix, E.A., Williams, K.Y., and Neale, M.A. "Group Composition and Decision Making : How Member Familiarity and Information Distribution Affect Process and Performance," Organizational Behavior & Human Decision Processes (67:1) 1996, pp 1-15.
- Gulati, R. "Social Structure and Alliance Formation Pattern: A Longitudinal Analysis," *Administrative Science Quarterly* (40) 1995, pp 619-652.
- Hars, A., and Qu, S. "Working for Free? Motivations for Participating in Open-Source Projects," *International Journal of Electronic Commerce* (6:3) 2002, p 25.
- Hertel, G., Niedner, S., and Herrmann, S. "Motivation of software developers in Open Source projects: an Internet-based survey of contributors to the Linux kernel," *Research Policy* (32:7) 2003, p 1159.
- Hinds, P.J., Carley, K.M., Krackhardt, D., and Wholey, D. "Choosing Work Group Members: Balancing Similarity, Competence, and Familiarity," *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* (81:2) 2000, pp 226-251.
- Howison, J., and Crowston, K. "The Perils and Pitfalls of Mining Sourceforge," In Proceedings of Mining Software Repositories Workshop, International Conference on Software Enginnering (ICSE 2004), Edinburgh, Scotland, 2004.
- Huang, S., and DeSanctis, G. "Mobilizing Informational Social Capital in Cyber Space: Online Social Network Structural Properties and Knowledge Sharing," Twenty-Sixth International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS 2005), Las Vegas, NV, 2005.
- Lakhani, K.R., and Wolf, R. "Why Hackers Do What They Do: Understanding Motivation and Effort in Free/Open Source Software Projects," in: *Perspectives on Free and Open Source Software*, J. Feller, B. Fitzgerald, S. Hissam and K.R. Lakhani (eds.), MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2005.
- Lerner, J., and Tirole, J. "The open source movement: Key research questions," *European Economic Review* (45:4-6) 2001, p 819.
- Lerner, J., and Tirole, J. "Some Simple Economics of Open Source," *Journal of Industrial Economics* (50:2) 2002, pp 197-234.
- Lopez-Fernandez, L., Robles, G., and Gonzalez-Barahona, J.M. "Applying Social Network Analysis to the Information in CVS Repositories," In Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Mining Software Repositories (MSR 2004), Edinburgh, UK., 2004.
- Madey, G., Freeh, V., and Tynan, R. "The Open Source Software Development Phenomenon: An Analysis Based on Social Network Theory," Eighth Americas Conference on Information Systems, Dallas, Texas, USA, 2002.

- McClelland, D., Atkinson, J., Clark, R., and Lowell, A. *The Achievement Motive* Appleton-Century-Crofts, New York, 1953.
- O'Reilly, T. "Lessons from open-source software development," *Association for Computing Machinery. Communications of the ACM* (42:4) 1999, p 32.
- Powell, W.W., Koput, K.W., and Smith-Doerr, L. "Interorganizational Collaboration and the Locus of Innovation: Networks of Learning in Biotechnology," *Administrative Science Quarterly* (41) 1996, pp 116-145.
- Raymond, E.S. "The Cathedral and the Bazaar," 2000.
- Raymond, E.S., and Young, B. *The Cathedral and the Bazaar: Musings on Linux and Open Source by an Accidental Revolutionary* O'Reilly & Associates, 2001.
- Schachter, S. The Psychology of Affiliation Stanford University Press, Stanford, California, 1959.
- Singh, J. "Collaborative Networks as Determinants of Knowledge Diffusion Patterns," *Management Science* (51:5) 2005, pp 756-770.
- Tsai, W. "Knowledge Transfer in Intraorganizational Networks: Effects of Network Position and Absorptive Capacity on Business Unit Innovation and Performance," Academy of Management Journal (44:5) 2001, pp 996-1004.
- von Hippel, E., and von Krogh, G. "Open Source Software and the 'Private-Collective' Innovation Model: Issues for Organization Science," *Organization Science* (14:2) 2003, pp 209-223.
- Walker, J., Wasserman, S., and Wellman, B. "Statistical Models for Social Support Networks," in: Advances in Social Network Analysis, S. Wasserman and J. Galaskiewicz (eds.), Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA., 1994, pp. 53-78.
- Wasserman, S., and Galaskiewicz, J. Advances in Social Network Analysis Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA, 1994.
- Wellman, B., and Berkowitz, S.D. *Social Structures: A Network Approach* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- Xu, J., Gao, Y., Christley, S., and madey, G. "A Topological Analysis of the Open Source Software Development Community," Proceedings of 38th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS 05), Hawaii, USA, 2005.