

## Lecture 7 — February 5

Lecturer: Caramanis &amp; Sanghavi

Scribe: Dohyung Park

## 7.1 Topics covered

- The planted model for spectral clustering

## 7.2 Perturbation approach

In the previous lecture, we proved the  $\sin \theta$  theorem. Applying the theorem, we can find a performance guarantee for the spectral clustering.

Let us first recap the  $\sin \theta$  theorem. The distance  $d_p(E_0, F_0)$  between two subspaces spanned by the columns of  $E_0$  and  $F_0$ , respectively, is defined as

$$d_p(E_0, F_0) \triangleq \|E_0 E_0^* - F_0 F_0^*\|_2 = \|\sin \Theta\|_2 \quad (7.1)$$

where  $\Theta$  is a diagonal matrix with principal angles.

**Theorem 7.1 (The  $\sin \theta$  theorem).** Consider matrices  $M, \Delta \in \mathbb{S}_n$  where

$$M = [E_0 | E_1] \begin{bmatrix} \text{diag}(M_0) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{diag}(M_1) \end{bmatrix} [E_0 | E_1]^*,$$

$$M + \Delta = [F_0 | F_1] \begin{bmatrix} \text{diag}(\hat{M}_0) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{diag}(\hat{M}_1) \end{bmatrix} [F_0 | F_1]^*$$

are the eigenvalue decompositions of the matrices. If  $M_0 \subseteq [a, b]$ ,  $\hat{M}_1 \subseteq (-\infty, a - \delta) \cup (b + \delta, \infty)$ , then

$$d_p(E_0, F_0) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \|\Delta\|_2. \quad (7.2)$$

The  $\sin \theta$  theorem bounds the distance between the column spaces of  $E_0$  and  $F_0$ . In spectral clustering, once we take the  $k$  eigenvectors with the  $k$  smallest eigenvalues, we cluster  $n$  rows of the matrix whose columns are the  $k$  eigenvectors. Therefore, the performance of the spectral clustering must be measured as the gap between the  $n$  rows obtained from the perturbed Laplacian,  $\hat{L}_n$ , and the  $n$  rows from the unperturbed Laplacian,  $L_n$ , up to rotation. In other words, let  $Y$  and  $\hat{Y}$  denote the matrices with the first  $k$  eigenvectors of  $L$  and  $\hat{L}$ ,

respectively. They are described as

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ u_1 & \cdots & u_k \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} - & y_1 & - \\ & \vdots & \\ - & y_n & - \end{bmatrix}, \hat{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \hat{u}_1 & \cdots & \hat{u}_k \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} Q = \begin{bmatrix} - & \hat{y}_1 & - \\ & \vdots & \\ - & \hat{y}_n & - \end{bmatrix} Q, \quad (7.3)$$

where  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  are the first  $k$  eigenvectors of  $L$ , and  $\hat{u}_1, \dots, \hat{u}_k$  are the first  $k$  eigenvectors of  $\hat{L}$ .  $Q$  is a  $k \times k$  unitary matrix. The performance of spectral clustering gets better as  $\hat{y}_1, \dots, \hat{y}_n$  are closer to  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ , respectively. Hence we need to measure  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - \hat{y}_i\|_2^2$ . Since we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - \hat{y}_i\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \|Y - \hat{Y}\|_F^2 \leq \frac{k}{n} \|Y - \hat{Y}\|_2^2, \quad (7.4)$$

we need to bound  $\|Y - \hat{Y}\|_2^2$ . To do so, we define another measure of distance between two subspaces.

**Definition 7.2.**

$$\begin{aligned} d_c(E_0, F_0) &\triangleq \min_{Q, R \in O(k)} \|E_0 Q - F_0 R\|_2 \\ &= \min_{R \in O(k)} \|E_0 - F_0 R\|_2 \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

Before we consider the main theorem, we check two useful lemmas.

**Lemma 7.3.**

$$d_p(E_0, F_0) \leq d_c(E_0, F_0) \leq \sqrt{2} d_p(E_0, F_0) \quad (7.6)$$

**Proof:** (Proof) □

**Lemma 7.4.** Let  $\lambda_{k+1}$  and  $\hat{\lambda}_{k+1}$  be the  $(k+1)$ -th smallest eigenvalue of matrices  $L$  and  $\hat{L}$ , respectively. Then

$$\hat{\lambda}_{k+1} \geq \lambda_{k+1} - \|\hat{L} - L\|_2. \quad (7.7)$$

**Proof:** Let  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  be the first  $k$  eigenvectors (with the  $k$  smallest eigenvalues) of  $L$ . Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\lambda}_{k+1} &= \max_{V: \dim(V)=k} \min_{x: x \in V^\perp, \|x\|=1} \langle x, \hat{L}x \rangle \\ &\geq \min_{x: x \in \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}^\perp, \|x\|=1} \langle x, \hat{L}x \rangle \\ &= \min_{x: x \in \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}^\perp, \|x\|=1} \left\{ \langle x, Lx \rangle - \langle x, (\hat{L} - L)x \rangle \right\} \\ &\geq \min_{x: x \in \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}^\perp, \|x\|=1} \langle x, Lx \rangle - \max_{\|x\|=1} \langle x, (\hat{L} - L)x \rangle \\ &\geq \min_{x: x \in \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}^\perp, \|x\|=1} \langle x, Lx \rangle - \max_{\|x\|=\|y\|=1} \langle y, (\hat{L} - L)x \rangle \\ &= \lambda_{k+1} - \|\hat{L} - L\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

An interpretation of Lemma 7.4 is the following: If we add  $\hat{L} - L$  to  $L$ ,  $\lambda_{k+1}$  will change. It is maximally reduced when the  $k$ th eigenvector of  $L$  is perfectly aligned to the eigenvector with the smallest (negative) eigenvalue of  $\hat{L} - L$ . Since the value is greater than  $-\|\hat{L} - L\|_2$ ,  $\lambda_{k+1}$  cannot be reduced more than  $\|\hat{L} - L\|_2$ . There is a chance that another eigenvalue of  $L$  smaller than  $\lambda_{k+1}$  will become the  $(k+1)$ -th smallest eigenvalue of  $\hat{L}$ , but it doesn't matter because the value will be greater than  $\lambda_{k+1} - \|\hat{L} - L\|_2$ .

Using the above lemmas, we obtain the main theorem.

**Theorem 7.5.** *There exists a unitary matrix  $Q \in O(k)$  such that if*

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ u_1 & \cdots & u_k \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \hat{u}_1 & \cdots & \hat{u}_k \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} Q, \quad (7.8)$$

then  $d_c(E_0, F_0) = \|Y - \hat{Y}\|_2$ , and

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - \hat{y}_i\|_2^2 \leq \frac{2k}{n(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k - \|\hat{L}_n - L_n\|)^2} \|\hat{L}_n - L_n\|_2^2. \quad (7.9)$$

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - \hat{y}_i\|_2^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \|Y - \hat{Y}\|_F^2 \\ &\leq \frac{k}{n} \|Y - \hat{Y}\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{k}{n} d_c(Y, \hat{Y})^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2k}{n} d_p(Y, \hat{Y})^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2k}{n(\hat{\lambda}_{k+1} - \lambda_k)^2} \|L_n - \hat{L}_n\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2k}{n(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k - \|\hat{L}_n - L_n\|)^2} \|\hat{L}_n - L_n\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

- The first equality holds by the definition of Frobenius norm.
- The second inequality holds because  $\|X\|_F \leq \sqrt{k}\|X\|_2$  for any matrix  $X$  with rank  $k$ .
- The third equality holds by the definition of the distance measure  $d_c$ .
- The fourth inequality holds by Lemma 7.3.
- The fifth inequality follows from Theorem 7.1.
- The last inequality follows from Lemma 7.4.

□

In the next section, we apply this theorem to the planted model.

## 7.3 The planted model

Consider a graph with  $k$  clusters. There is an edge with probability  $p$  between a pair of vertices in the same cluster, while vertices in different cluster are connected with probability  $q$ . It is natural that we should have  $p > q$  to correctly split the vertices into  $k$  clusters. The main question is that: *How big must the gap  $p - q$  be?*

Let us build a mathematical model before we consider the problem. The matrices  $P^{\text{un}}$  and  $P$  are defined as

$$P_{ij}^{\text{un}} = \begin{cases} p & \text{if vertices } i \text{ and } j \text{ are in the same cluster,} \\ 0 & \text{if vertices } i \text{ and } j \text{ are in different clusters,} \end{cases}$$

$$P_{ij} = \begin{cases} p & \text{if vertices } i \text{ and } j \text{ are in the same cluster,} \\ q & \text{if vertices } i \text{ and } j \text{ are in different clusters.} \end{cases}$$

Then an adjacency matrix  $A$  based on  $P$  is generated as

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } P_{ij} & \text{if } i \leq j, \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - P_{ij} & \text{if } i \leq j, \\ A_{ji} & \text{with probability } P_{ij} & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Once we have an adjacency matrix  $A$ , we do the spectral clustering.

Note that  $P$  and  $A$  can be thought of as perturbations of  $P^{\text{un}}$  and  $P$ , respectively. Therefore, we apply Theorem 7.5 for the following two cases.

- A deterministic model :  $L_n = I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}} P^{\text{un}} D^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \hat{L}_n = I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}} P D^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
- The planted model :  $L_n = I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}} P D^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \hat{L}_n = I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}} A D^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

In the following sections, we will find a lower bound on  $p - q$  for exact partitioning by spectral clustering. The planted model is what we are interested in, but we first consider the deterministic model.

### 7.3.1 Spectral clustering for the deterministic model

Let  $P = U\Lambda U^{-1}$  be the eigenvalue decomposition of  $P$ . Since  $D = (qn + (p - q)n/k)I$  is a multiple of identity, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_n &= I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}} P D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= UU^{-1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} I \cdot U\Lambda U^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} I \\ &= U \left( I - \frac{1}{\gamma} \Lambda \right) U^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

where  $\gamma = qn + (p - q)n/k$ . Note that  $I - \frac{1}{\gamma} \Lambda$  is diagonal. This means that (7.10) is the eigenvalue decomposition of  $\hat{L}_n$ . Therefore, the eigenvectors corresponding to the  $k$  smallest eigenvalues of  $\hat{L}_n$  are equal to the eigenvectors with the  $k$  largest eigenvalues of  $P$ . This leads to the following fact.

**Proposition 7.6.** *Clustering according to the bottom  $k$  eigenvectors of  $\hat{L}_n$  is equivalent to clustering by the top  $k$  eigenvectors of  $P$ .*

Can we also consider the bound in Theorem 7.5 in terms of  $P$  and  $P^{\text{un}}$ ? The following proposition is the key property.

**Proposition 7.7.** *The bound (7.9) is invariant to scaling and translation by addition of a multiple of identity.*

**Proof:** (Proof) □

As  $D$  is a multiple of identity,  $P$  is obtained by scaling  $\hat{L}_n$  and adding a multiple of identity to it. It follows that the gap between two eigenvalues of  $\hat{L}_n$  are scaled by the absolute number of the scaling factor. Therefore, the bound (7.9) is written as

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - \hat{y}_i\|_2^2 \leq \frac{2k}{n(\lambda_{n-k+1}(P) - \lambda_{n-k}(P) - \|P - P^{\text{un}}\|_2)^2} \|P - P^{\text{un}}\|_2^2. \quad (7.11)$$

Since the eigenvalues of  $P$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n(P) &= qn + (p - q)\frac{n}{k}, \\ \lambda_{n-1}(P) &= \dots = \lambda_{n-k+1}(P) = (p - q)\frac{n}{k}, \\ \lambda_{n-k}(P) &= \dots = \lambda_1(P) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

the bound is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\hat{y}_i - e_{\text{cluster}(i)}\|^2 &\leq \frac{2k}{n(qn + (p - q)n/k - \|P - P^{\text{un}}\|_2)^2} \|P - P^{\text{un}}\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{2k}{n(qn + (p - q)n/k - qn)^2} (qn)^2 \\ &= \frac{2k^3 q^2}{n(p - q)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

which means that the spectral clustering works while

$$q \leq p \left\{ 1 - \frac{ck^{3/2}}{n^{1/2}} \right\}. \quad (7.13)$$

### 7.3.2 Spectral clustering for the planted model

As mentioned in the previous case, we can consider the  $k$  largest eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenvectors of  $A$  instead of the  $k$  smallest eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $L_n$ , when  $D$  for  $A$  is a multiple of identity. Here, we also look at  $A$  because each diagonal entry

of  $D$  is given by the sum of independent random variables,  $d_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}$ , and for sufficiently large  $n$ , it is close to a constant  $\sum_{j=1}^n P_{ij} = \frac{n}{k}(p - q) + nq$ .

The planted model can be rewritten as

$$A = P + X,$$

where

$$X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 - P_{ij} & \text{with probability } P_{ij}, \\ -P_{ij} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $E[X] = 0$ . The bound (7.9) is then given by

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - \hat{y}_i\|_2^2 \leq \frac{2k}{n(\lambda_{n-k+1}(P) - \lambda_{n-k}(P) - \|X\|_2)^2} \|X\|_2^2 \quad (7.14)$$

Since the eigenvalues of  $P$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n(P) &= qn + (p - q)\frac{n}{k}, \\ \lambda_{n-1}(P) &= \cdots = \lambda_{n-k+1}(P) = (p - q)\frac{n}{k}, \\ \lambda_{n-k}(P) &= \cdots = \lambda_1(P) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

the bound is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - \hat{y}_i\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{2k}{n((p - q)(n/k) - \|X\|_2)^2} \|X\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{2k}{n(n\epsilon/k - \|X\|_2)^2} \|X\|_2^2 \end{aligned} \quad (7.15)$$

where  $\epsilon = p - q$ . Since  $\|X\|_2$  is still a random variable, we need an expression to bound it with high probability.

One reasonable try can be to use Chebyshev's inequality: For any random variable  $Z$  with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ ,

$$P(|Z - \mu| \geq \alpha\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \quad (7.16)$$

for any number  $\alpha > 0$ . Since we have that

$$\sigma^2 = E[\|X\|_2^2] \leq E[\|X\|_F^2] = \sum_{i,j} E[X_{ij}^2] \leq n^2, \quad (7.17)$$

it follows that

$$P(\|X\|_2 \geq 10n) \leq P(\|X\|_2 \geq 10\sigma^2) \leq \frac{1}{100}. \quad (7.18)$$

Putting the bound  $\|X\|_2 \leq 10n$  to Theorem 7.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - \hat{y}_i\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{2k}{n(n\epsilon/k - \|X\|_2)^2} \|X\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2k}{n(n(\epsilon/k - 10))^2} (10n)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{200k}{n(\epsilon/k - 10)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This bound doesn't give any useful result for  $\epsilon$ . In the above derivation, (7.17) and (7.18) hold even if the entries of  $X$  are correlated. To obtain a useful bound, *we must exploit independence of  $i$  and  $j$ .*

Another try is to use the Matrix Bernstein inequality. [1]

**Theorem 7.8 (Matrix Bernstein inequality).** Let  $Z_1, \dots, Z_m \in \mathbb{S}^n$  be independent random matrices where  $E[Z_i] = 0$ ,  $\|Z_i\|_2 \leq R$ , and  $\|\sum_{i=1}^m E[Z_i^2]\| \leq \sigma^2$ , and let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^m Z_i$ . Then we have that

$$P(\|X\|_2 > t) \leq n \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{6(Rt + \sigma^2)}\right). \quad (7.19)$$

We can apply Theorem 7.8 to  $X = P - A$  by defining

$$Z_{(ij)} \triangleq X_{ij}(e_i e_j^* + e_j e_i^*)$$

where the superscript  $*$  denotes the transpose. Note that all the entries of  $Z_{(ij)}$  are zero except for the entries at  $(i, j)$  and  $(j, i)$  that are equal to  $X_{ij} = X_{ji}$ . Then  $X$  can be described as the sum of  $n^2$  matrices

$$X = \sum_{i,j} Z_{(ij)}.$$

The random matrices  $Z_{(ij)}$  have the following properties.

- $E[Z_{(ij)}] = 0$ ,  $\|Z_{(ij)}\|_2 \leq 2$ .
- $Z_{(ij)}^2 = X_{ij}(e_i e_j^* + e_j e_i^*)^* \cdot X_{ij}(e_i e_j^* + e_j e_i^*) = X_{ij}^2(e_i e_i^* + e_j e_j^*)$ .
- 

$$\sum_{i,j:i \leq j} E[Z_{(ij)}^2] = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n X_{1j}^2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sum_{j=1}^n X_{nj}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \left\| \sum_{i \leq j} E[Z_{(ij)}^2] \right\|_2 \leq n.$$

Using Theorem 7.8 and the above properties, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} P(\|X\|_2 > t) &\leq n \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{6(Rt + \sigma^2)}\right) \\ &\leq n \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{6(2t + n)}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

Consider  $t = 10\sqrt{n \log n}$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} P(\|X\|_2 > 10\sqrt{n \log n}) &\leq n \exp\left(-\frac{100n \log n}{6(n + 20\sqrt{n \log n})}\right) \\ &\leq n \exp\left(-\frac{100n \log n}{10n}\right) \\ &\leq n \exp(-10 \log n) = n^{-9}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

This implies that for sufficiently large  $n$ , we have that

$$\|X\|_2 \leq 10\sqrt{n \log n} \quad (7.22)$$

with high probability. Let us drop the  $\log n$  factor just to make bounds look clean. Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - \hat{y}_i\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{2k}{n(n\epsilon/k - \|X\|_2)^2} \|X\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{200k}{(n\epsilon/k - 10\sqrt{n})^2} \\ &\leq \frac{200k}{\gamma^2 n} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\epsilon \geq \frac{10k}{\sqrt{n}} + \gamma$ . This concludes that we need

$$p - q = \epsilon \geq \frac{10k}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (7.23)$$

for the planted model to be correctly partitioned using spectral clustering for sufficiently large  $n$ .

**Remark 7.9.** If  $k = O(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then we need  $\epsilon = O(1/\sqrt{n})$ . This implies that if  $n \gg k$ , the spectral clustering can correctly partition the planted model even with a very small gap  $\epsilon = p - q$ .



# Bibliography

- [1] Tropp, J. (2010). User-friendly tail bounds for sums of random matrices. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 12(4), 389–434.