OBJECTIVE

- Develop a formal mathematical framework for analysis and design of error diffusion algorithms for digital image halftoning
 - Model halftoning as two-dimensional delta-sigma modulation
 - Derive objective measures for subjective quality of edge sharpening and noise in halftoned images
- Applications:
 - Design of optimal error diffusion filters with respect to subjective quality
 - Optimize quality of halftoned oversampled images

Work supported by a grant from Hewlett-Packard Laboratories

LINEAR ANALYSIS



- Assume quantizer adds white noise uncorrelated with input
- Output given by

$$Y(z) = X(z) + N(z)(1 - H(z))$$

- Signal transfer function (STF) is flat, noise transfer function (NTF) is high-pass
- Circuit is equivalent in form to a noiseshaping feedback coder

ERROR IMAGE



- Error image is highly correlated with input (Knox, 1992)
- Correlation is higher for larger error filters
- Degree of image sharpening increases with correlation
- Suggests *linear gain model* for quantizer
- Signal and noise paths modeled separately (Ardalan and Paulos, 1987)

LINEAR GAIN MODEL



• Output given by

$$Y(z) = \frac{K}{\underbrace{1 + (K-1)H(z)}_{\text{STF}}} X(z) + \underbrace{\frac{1 - H(z)}{1 + (K-1)H(z)}}_{\text{NTF}} N(z)$$

- *K* is measured empirically; varies with image and error filter
- Accounts for image sharpening
- Noise treated separately (*K* = 1)

NOISE TRANSFER FUNCTION



modulators

SIGNAL TRANSFER FUNCTION



• Linear gain model accounts for sharpening seen with large error filters

RESULTS OF LINEAR MODEL



- Sharpening is decoupled from noise
- Effect of noise shaping can be quantified



- Narrow histogram at quantizer input leads to higher effective quantizer gain, *K*
- Quantizer error bounded by ± 0.5

SMALL ERROR FILTERS I

- Can small error filters be designed to sharpen as much as large filters?
 - Design large sharpening filter
 - Construct smaller filter whose frequency response is closest to the large filter in a mean square sense (Wong, 1996):

$$g_n = h_n + \alpha$$

where:

- h_n, g_n are the coefficients of the large and small error filters, respectively
 - $\alpha~$ is a constant chosen to satisfy the gain constraint at DC
- Result: sharpening ability falls off linearly as number of filter taps decreases
- Degree of sharpening related to bandwidth of error filter

SMALL ERROR FILTERS II



• Sharpening correlated with bandwidth



- Noise isolated by subtracting sharpened, noiseless image from halftoned image
- Weighted noise figure computed using visual system model

CONCLUSION

- Summary
 - Error diffusion can be modeled as a noise-shaping feedback coder, a form of two-dimensional delta-sigma modulation
 - Quantizer can be modeled by a gain block plus additive noise
 - Objective measures of subjective quality by decoupling edge sharpening and noise effects:
 - Edge sharpening proportional to gain
 - Weight noise by perceptual SNR measure
- Future work
 - Design of optimal error diffusion filters with respect to subjective quality using constrained nonlinear optimization
 - Optimize algorithm complexity and subjective quality for halftoned oversampled images
 - Combine error diffusion (sharpening) with interpolation (smoothing)