Computational Process Networks

a model and framework for high-throughput signal processing

Gregory E.Allen

Ph.D. Defense 25 April 2011



Committee Members:

James C. Browne Craig M. Chase Brian L. Evans (Advisor) Lizy K. John Charles M. Loeffler

Outline

- Need for speed
- Dataflow models
- Contributions
 - Dynamic Distributed Deadlock Detection & Resolution (D4R)
 - The Computational Process Network (CPN) model
 - CPN framework implementation & case studies
- Conclusion

Introduction

- High-throughput, high-performance applications
 - Sonar beamforming (100s of MB/s, 10s of GFLOPS)
 - Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) processing
- Traditional embedded concurrent implementations
 - Custom hardware
 - Custom integration of embedded processors
- Commercial workstations and clusters
 - Multi-core symmetric multiprocessing (SMP) computing
 - Distributed (cluster) computing, high-speed interconnect
 - Significant savings in design time

High Performance

• Single-task approaches

- Single Instruction Multiple Data (SIMD) for data parallelism
- Hand-optimized signal processing kernels and libraries
- Memory latency hiding to reduce input/output bottleneck
- Lock memory buffers to avoid swapping to disk
- Fixed-priority real-time scheduling
- Executing tasks efficiently on parallel hardware
 - Scalability: more parallel hardware gives more performance
 - Determinate: always gets same answer (no race conditions)
 - Locking: prevent concurrent access to shared resources



Concurrent Programming

- Tension between scalability, determinism, and deadlock
 - Deadlock: processes waiting on each other in a cycle
 - Coarse-grained locks yield systems that do not scale well
 - Insufficient locking may cause non-determinate execution
- Industry approaches leave concurrency issues to programmer
 - Threads are "wildly nondeterministic" [Lee 2006]
 - Message Passing Interface compared to "assembly language"
- Formal models can handle complications of concurrency

А

B

С

Outline

- Need for speed
- Dataflow models
- Contributions
 - Dynamic Distributed Deadlock Detection & Resolution (D4R)
 - The Computational Process Network (CPN) model
 - CPN framework implementation & case studies
- Conclusion

Dataflow Models

- Programs are modeled as directed graphs
 - Each node represents a computational unit
 - Each edge represents a one-way first-in first-out queue

Р

SDF

B

KPN

Α

- Nodes may have any number of input or output edges
- Nodes may communicate only via these edges
- Dataflow naturally models functional parallelism in systems
- Example dataflow models
 - Synchronous Dataflow (SDF), used in Agilent ADS
 - Kahn Process Networks (KPN), used in NI LabVIEW

Static Dataflow Models

- Firing behavior of each node is known and static
 - Synchronous Dataflow (SDF) [Lee 1986]
 - Computation Graphs (CG) [Karp & Miller 1966]
- Termination & boundedness decidable
 - Flow of control and memory usage can be compiled
 - Schedule constructed once and repeatedly executed
- CG has parameters at each queue
 - U: number of tokens inserted by the producer at each firing
 - W: number of tokens removed by the consumer at each firing
 - T: (firing threshold) tokens present before consumer fires $T \ge W$
- SDF is a special case of CG where T=W for all queues



A

B

ABABCABBC

Ρ

Firing Thresholds

- A node can access more tokens than it will dequeue
- Model sliding window algorithms
 - Common in signal processing
 - Digital filters, y = x * h



- Overlap-and-save fast Fourier transforms (FFTs)
- Queue maintains state and node becomes memoryless
 - Prevents node from having to make local copy of state
 - Enables optimizations for data management in queue

Kahn Process Networks

- A networked set of Turing machines, dynamically scheduled
- Determinate execution regardless of execution order
 - Sequential or concurrent execution
 - Mathematically proven model [Kahn 1974]



- Composable: nodes can be clustered into a hierarchy to create larger, more complex systems
- Dynamic firing rules at each node
 - Blocking reads: suspend execution when attempting to read from an empty queue (necessary for determinism)
 - Non-blocking writes: never suspend a node for producing
- Possibly unbounded: may require infinite memory
- Termination and boundedness are undecidable in finite time

Dataflow Model Properties

	Model of Computation				
Property	SDF	CG	KPN		
Determinism	V	V	V		
Boundedness	~	~			
Scalability			~		
Composability			V		
Firing Thresholds		~			

SDF: Synchronous Dataflow CG: Computation Graphs KPN: Kahn Process Networks

Contributions

- Distributed Dynamic Deadlock Detection and Resolution (D4R)
 - For execution of KPN and CPN in bounded memory
- New model: Computational Process Networks
 - Built on formal underpinnings of KPN
 - Add firing thresholds and maintain scalability and composability
 - Bounded scheduling and enhancements for efficient implementation
- CPN Implementation and Case Studies
 - High-performance, scalable, distributed, and low overhead
 - Open-source implementation framework on POSIX (Unix) systems

CPN preserves the formal properties of KPN and reduces operations to implement common signal processing algorithms.

KPN Bounded Scheduling

• Execute KPN in bounded memory, if possible [Parks 95]

- Place bounds on queue sizes and use blocking writes
- Queue bounds may introduce *artificial deadlock*
- Requires dynamic detection & resolution of deadlocks
- Lengthen shortest deadlocked full queue to resolve
- Effective: all tokens produced are eventually consumed
- Fair: nodes cannot indefinitely ignore any input or output

	Parks 95	Geilen & Basten 03	D4R
Deadlock type specified	Global	Local	Local
Complete execution	No	Yes, if effective KPN	Yes, if fair KPN

• Distributed algorithm by [Mitchell & Merritt 84] can detect local deadlocks in KPN [Olson & Evans 05]

Contribution #I: D4R

- D4R algorithm for KPN and CPN [Allen & Evans 07]
 - Based on a different priority-based distributed algorithm [M&M 84]
 - Detects whether deadlock is present
 - Determines whether a detected deadlock is real or artificial
 - If artificial, identifies the node blocked on culpable queue
 - Artificial deadlock is resolved by enlarging the culpable queue
- Distributed and scalable
 - Each process contains D4R state variables
 - D4R state transactions occur between interacting processes







- Each node is an independent process
- Each node contains D4R state variables
 - Four state variables
 - Public and private sets
- Four state transitions
- Nodes directly interact
- Example is feed-forward
- One of several possible orders of execution

public	e private
count	count
nodeID	nodeID
qSize	qSize
qID	qID



I. A writes to P

public	private
count	count
nodeID	nodeID
qSize	qSize
qID	qID



- I. A writes to P
- 2. A blocks writing to P

D4R state updated for **A**: count incremented and qSize set to size of **P**



- I. A writes to P
- 2. A blocks writing to P
- 3. B blocks reading from Q

D4R state updated for **B**: count incremented and qSize set to MAX_UINT





- I. A writes to P
- 2. A blocks writing to P
- 3. B blocks reading from Q
- 4. **B** transmits to **A**

D4R state updated for **A**: keep larger count:nodelD and smaller qSize:qID



- I. A writes to P
- 2. A blocks writing to P
- 3. B blocks reading from Q
- 4. **B** transmits to **A**
- 5. A transmits to B

D4R state updated for **B**: keep larger count:nodelD and smaller qSize:qID





- I. A writes to P
- 2. A blocks writing to P
- 3. B blocks reading from Q
- 4. **B** transmits to **A**
- 5. A transmits to B
- 6. A detects deadlock

if qSize != MAX_UINT,
deadlock is artificial and
A blocked on culpable queue





- I. A writes to P
- 2. A blocks writing to P
- 3. B blocks reading from Q
- 4. **B** transmits to **A**
- 5. A transmits to B
- 6. A detects deadlock
- 7. deadlock resolved

culpable queue grows



- I. A writes to P
- 2. A blocks writing to P
- 3. B blocks reading from Q
- 4. **B** transmits to **A**
- 5. A transmits to B
- 6. A detects deadlock
- 7. deadlock resolved
- 8. A activates, writes to P

dependency removed





- I. A writes to P
- 2. A blocks writing to P
- 3. B blocks reading from Q
- 4. **B** transmits to **A**
- 5. A transmits to B
- 6. A detects deadlock
- 7. deadlock resolved
- 8. A activates, writes to P
- 9. A writes to Q



- I. A writes to P
- 2. A blocks writing to P
- 3. B blocks reading from Q
- 4. **B** transmits to **A**
- 5. A transmits to B
- 6. A detects deadlock
- 7. deadlock resolved
- 8. A activates, writes to P
- 9. A writes to Q
- 10. B activates, reads from Q

dependency removed





- I. A writes to P
- 2. A blocks writing to P
- 3. B blocks reading from Q
- 4. **B** transmits to **A**
- 5. A transmits to B
- 6. A detects deadlock
- 7. deadlock resolved
- 8. A activates, writes to P
- 9. A writes to Q
- 10. B activates, reads from Q
- I. B reads (twice) from P

Contribution #2: CPN Model

- Preserves KPN determinism, scalability, and composability
- Reduces operations for common signal processing algorithms
- Bounded memory when possible with D4R (Contribution #I)
- Enhancements for streaming data

LabVIEW's "G" language traditionally used single-

- Multi-token queue transactions to reduce overhead

 token transactions
- Multi-channel queues for multi-dimensional synchronized data
- Zero-copy queue transactions
- Enables high-throughput signal processing

 Computation Graphs have only consumer firing thresholds

CPN Queue Semantics

- Bounded queue sizes and blocking reads and writes
- Producer and consumer firing thresholds
- CPN semantics use two steps each for read or write
 - GetDequeuePtr(threshold, channel) blocks until sufficient tokens are readable in input queue, returns contiguous token array for consumption
 - **Dequeue**(*count*) dequeues tokens from head of input queue
 - GetEnqueuePtr(threshold, channel) blocks until sufficient free space is available in output queue, returns contiguous token array for writing
 - Enqueue(count) enqueues tokens from array head into output queue
- These semantics provide a zero-copy interface for queue I/O

CPN vs. KPN Semantics

FIR filter in the frequency domain using 50% overlap-and-save FFT

}

inQ (A) outQ

// with (extended) bounded KPN semantics typedef complex<float> T; const int nfft = 1024; memory for T filter[nfft]; T workBuf[nfft]; ← overlap state while (true) { // manage sliding window state memcpy(workBuf, workBuf+nfft/2, nfft/2*sizeof(T)); // blocking call to copy in new data inQ.read(workBuf+nfft/2, nfft/2); data // execute one step of filter copies fft(workBuf, workBuf, nfft); cpx_multiply(filter, workBuf, workBuf, nfft); ifft(workBuf, workBuf, nfft); // blocking call to copy out the results outQ.write(workBuf, nfft/2); }

// with CPN semantics
typedef complex<float> T;
const int nfft = 1024;
T filter[nfft];

while (true) {
 // blocking calls for in/out buffer pointers
 const T* inPtr = inQ.GetDequeuePtr(nfft);
 T* outPtr = outQ.GetEnqueuePtr(nfft);

// execute one step of filter
fft(inPtr, outPtr, nfft);
cpx_multiply(filter, outPtr, outPtr, nfft);
ifft(outPtr, outPtr, nfft);

// complete the queue transactions
inQ.Dequeue(nfft/2);
outQ.Enqueue(nfft/2);

Preserving KPN's Properties

Qt

Pt

Α

Q

Ρ

Pf

- Any CPN program can be transformed to KPN
 - Adding queues and modifying each node
 - Feedback queues (Pf and Qf) are for boundedness
 - Self-loop queues (Pt and Qt) are for managing firing thresholds
 - Grayed queues carry placeholder feedback tokens (value is unimportant)
- All tokens entering a process pass through self-loop queue
 - GetDequeuePtr ensures self-loop contains at least threshold number of tokens
 - Dequeue discards requested number of tokens from self-loop queue
 - GetEnqueuePtr and Enqueue behave similarly but with feedback tokens
- Same mathematical representation, formal properties preserved

Contribution #3: CPN Framework

- High-performance implementation of the CPN model
- Scalable framework in C++, targeting POSIX (Unix) systems
 - Released as an open source library under the GNU LGPL license
 - More than 4 work-years of development effort, 26K lines of code
 - D4R algorithm for bounded scheduling
 - Unit tests and 72 hour tests for robustness and stability
- Developers can build high-throughput distributed systems from deterministic, composable components
- Case studies on both multi-core and distributed platforms

CPN Nodes and Queues

- Each CPN Node maps onto a single POSIX thread (Pthread)
- CPN Queues have firing thresholds and zero-copy interface
 - Nodes operate directly on queue memory to avoid unnecessary copies
- CPN Queues use mirroring for contiguous data [Allen et al. 2006]



- Circular buffers similar to modulo addressing
- Virtual memory manager maintains data circularity



• OS dynamically schedules and load balances nodes (threads)

Prime Sieve Case Study



- Simple algorithm for finding prime numbers [Eratosthenes 250BCE]
 - First real example for KPN, requires dynamic creation & recursion
- Multi-core platform: I2x 2.66 GHz Intel Xeon with Hyper-Threads
- Guidelines for high performance
 - Multi-token firings reduce overhead
 - Node granularity vs. context switch
 - Load balancing of nodes
- 2500x speedup for 10⁷ candidates



Beamformer Case Study

- Multiple beams formed from cylindrical sensor array outputs
 - Decomposed into horizontal and vertical components
 - Optimized kernels use SIMD and OpenMP loop parallelism
 - Horizontal beamformer uses FFTs and performs matched filtering
- At 50 ks/s target: 614 MB/s in, 672 MB/s out, 24 GFLOPS

• On multi-core platform, 9.3x speedup at 12 cores



Beamformer Case Study

- On 8-host cluster connected by 8 Gigabit Infiniband network
 - Each host with four 2.33 GHz Intel Xeon processors

35

- Mapping file to distribute and load balance CPN program
- Increase data parallelism of horizontal with time multiplexing
- 4.6x speedup on 8 hosts w/ Infiniband output at 70% of peak



Conclusion

	Dataflow Model			
Property	SDF	CG	KPN	CPN
Determinism	~	~	V	V
Boundedness	~	~	*	*
Scalability			~	~
Composability			~	~
Firing Thresholds		~		~
Zero-copy Semantics				~

* Execution of *fair* KPN and CPN in bounded memory with D4R

CPN preserves the formal properties of KPN and reduces operations to implement common signal processing algorithms.

Future Work

• Improve D4R algorithm

- Artificial deadlocks can occur without cycles [Basten&Hoogerbrugge 2001]
- A similar edge-chasing algorithm could detect these deadlocks
- CPN Node migration and distributed scheduling
 - Automated load balancing on cluster computers
- CPN Queues with Remote Direct Memory Access (RDMA)
 - Higher throughput, reduced overhead on cluster systems
- Integrate into design automation tools (graphical programming)
- Additional targets and applications